Study on a New Isolate of Rabbit Haemorrhagic Disease Virus
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Fifteen outbreaks with clinical picture and post-mortem lesions similar to that of rabbit haemorrhagic viral disease (RHVD) were investigated in vaccinated flocks during the period between February and July 2005 at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. Twelve representative liver homogenate were positive in haemagglutination test (HA) using human type (O) washed RBCs, with titer more than 1/160. Detection of virus particles by electron microscopy, histopathological findings as well as pathogenicity test, confirmed that the outbreaks were RHVD. The possible role of field rats for the transmission and spread of RHVD among rabbitaries was studied. Cross reactivity and cross protection tests were conducted. These tests proved that the newly emerged RHVD isolates were not closely related to classical local vaccinal strain of RHVD and may be a variant strain.

Rabbit haemorrhagic viral disease (RHVD) is an acute, febrile, fatal, highly contagious viral disease affecting rabbits; the disease caused by a virus belongs to family *Caliciviridae*. The disease is characterized by sudden deaths, fever, dyspnea and abdominal respiration, as well as frothy bloody nasal discharges (OIE, 2000). RHVD was first reported in China in 1984 by Liu et al., then outbreaks have been reported in many countries including Korea (Lee and Park 1987), Italy (Cancellotti et al., 1988), France (Morisse, 1988), Mexico (Gregg et al., 1989), Bulgaria (Belemzov et al., 1989), Germany (Soike et al., 1989), Spain (Rosell and Badiola 1989), Belgium (Peeters et al., 1990) and England (Chasey and Duff 1990). In Egypt RHVD has been diagnosed for the first time by Ghanem and Ismail 1992, Salem and El-Ballal 1992 since that time, RHVD outbreaks were reported in several regions of Egypt (El-Zanaty 1994, Azhar et al., 1995, El-Mongy 1998 and Mostafa, 2001).

An inactivated formalized tissue vaccines prepared from liver suspensions of rabbits infected with RHVD have been developed in several countries including Egypt (Gunenkov, 1990; Abd El–Motalib et al., 1998 and Daoud et al., 1998). However outbreaks having the same clinical picture and post mortem lesions as those of RHVD was observed in rabbit flocks that vaccinated with formalized RHVD vaccine prepared from local vaccinal strain by Serum Vaccine Research Institute SVRI at a period from February till July 2005.

The present work was planned to identify and characterize the proposed new RHVD isolate and detect its antigenic relationship to the locally existing vaccinal strain. The suspected role of field rats (Rattus rattus norvigcus) in spread and transmission of such isolate was also investigated.

Material and Methods

Specimens. Fifteen farm rabbits exhibiting symptoms and lesions suspected to be RHVD at Kafr El–Sheikh Governorate were investigated during the period from February until July 2005. Clinical and post mortem examination were conducted and recorded.

Serum samples. Thirty six pooled sera were collected from survived rabbits after 3-4 weeks from the beginning of the outbreak for assessing haemagglutinin inhibiting antibodies by haemagglutination inhibition test (HI). The test was applied according to (OIE 1996).

Sample preparation. About 2-3 livers per farm were pooled and used for virus detection. These livers were cut by a sterile scissors into small pieces and ground in a sterile mortar, homogenized and suspended with saline to reach 20% (w/v) suspension. Samples were frozen and thawed for 3 cycles and then centrifuged by low-speed centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 15 min. Supernatant fluid was collected and was stored at –20 °C and used for virus detection by haemagglutination test and electron microscopy.

Haemagglutination test (HA). HA test was
carried according to OIE (1996) using 1% human type (O) washed RBCs. Agglutination at end point dilution more than 1/160 was considered positive.

**Detection of haemagglutinating activity and elution pattern.** The ability of liver homogenate to agglutinate human type (O), chicken and sheep washed RBCs (1%) was screened. Equal volumes of liver homogenates (100 µl) and of 1% washed RBCs were thoroughly mixed and observed for haemagglutination activity. The positive plates of human type (O) washed RBCs were covered and incubated for 24 h at 4°C to record elution pattern (according to OIE, 1996).

**Transmission electron microscopy examination.** Supernatant fluid of liver homogenate (HA titer 1/320) was ultra-centrifuged through sucrose cushion at 30.000 rpm for 1 h. by high-speed centrifuge. The sediment was stained with Uranyl acetate, after loading on copper grid. Electron micrograph examination was made using TEM ZEISS EM 10 (Germany) at HT 60 KV.

**Histopathological examination.** Tissue specimens were collected from liver, lung, spleen and kidney of naturally infected rabbit. Tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, processed for paraffin embedding techniques, sectioned at 4-6 microns thickness, stained with haematoxyline and eosin (H&E) and microscopically examined (Bancroft et al., 1996).

**Pathogenicity test in field rats (Rattus rattus norvicens).** Ten adult field rats were infected intranasally with rabbit liver homogenate (HA titer 1/320) with 0.5 ml/rat. Rats were observed for 15 days to record any clinical symptoms or mortality. Livers from affected rats were harvested to estimate haemagglutination activity. Group of five field rats kept as control non-infected.

**Results**

**Clinical and post-mortem examination.** The clinical signs observed on susceptible rabbits (more than two months old) were sudden deaths, depression, convulsion, fever with cyanosis of lips and nostrils, dyspnea accompanied by abdominal respiration and cries. Frothy bloody nasal discharge was occasionally observed (Fig. 1). Sometimes, anal sphincter appeared loosen with mucoid faecal discharges.

The most prominent lesions were confined to the liver, whereits colour was pale yellowish-brown with focal and lobular necrosis. Haemorrhage of variable size appeared scattered through the liver (Fig. 2). Lungs were congested, oedematus and haemorrhagic (Fig. 3). Digestive lesions involved full-filled stomach engorged blood vessels, with apparently characteristic necrotic spots visible from external and internal gastric surfaces (Fig. 4). Dark congested spleen. Dark red kidneys with urinary bladder filled with discolored urine (Fig. 5).

**Cross haemagglutination inhibition test.** Hyper immune serum prepared from local vaccinal strain (SVRI) and from new isolate RHVD were inactivated at 56°C for 30 min. in water bath, then (50 ul of each inactivated serum was thoroughly mixed with an equal volume of liver homogenate (HA activity more than 1/160). The serum-virus mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 1 h. then 1% human type (O) RBCs was added to wells of the plates and haemagglutination activity was observed for each virus serum mixture.

**Cross protection test.** Thirty 3-month-old white New-Zealand rabbits were equally divided into three groups (A, B and C) and kept in separate units. Group A was vaccinated with local vaccinal stain (SVRI) with 0.5 ml sub-cutaneously (s/c), group B was inoculated with prepared vaccine from field new isolate (KSHM05) 0.5 ml s/c, while group C was left as unvaccinated control. All groups were challenged at one week post vaccination intranasally with 1ml of RHVD positive liver homogenate (HA titer was 1/320). All rabbits were daily observed for clinical sings and/or mortality for 15 days.

**Pathogenicity test in field rabbit (Rattus rattus norvicens).** Ten adult field rats were infected intranasally with rabbit liver homogenate (HA titer 1/320) with 0.5 ml/rat. Rats were observed for 15 days to record any clinical symptoms or mortality. Livers from affected rats were harvested to estimate haemagglutination activity. Group of five field rats kept as control non-infected.

**Cross haemagglutination inhibition test (HI).** HI of serum samples collected from rabbit farms under investigation revealed HI titer ranged from 2-7 log₂.
Haemagglutination test (HA). The ability of 20% liver homogenates (from 15 RHVD suspected cases) to haemagglutinate human type (O) RBCs revealed that 12 samples were positive with a titers ranged from 1/160 to 1/640.

Haemagglutination activity and elution pattern against human type (O), chicken and sheep RBCs. RHD virus has the ability to rapidly haemagglutinate human type (O), chicken and sheep RBCs at room temperature. Twelve out of 15 liver homogenates from RHVD suspected cases to haemagglutinate human type (O) RBCs revealed that 12 samples were positive with a titers ranged from 1/160 up to 1/640 (Table 1).

Table 1: HA activity and elution pattern liver homogenates of infected rabbits suspected to be RHVD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>R.B.Cs.</th>
<th>Tested</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>% Positive</th>
<th>HA titer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human type (O)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>160-640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA activity</td>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>160-640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>160-640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elution</td>
<td>Human type (O)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not eluted at 4 c/24h.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: HI antibody titers of sera of survived rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Log 2 titer</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive tested sera</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Cross HI test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of tested sera</th>
<th>RHDV</th>
<th>HA reactivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum of vaccinal strain</td>
<td>KSHM05</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum of KSHM05</td>
<td>KSHM05</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Haemagglutination test (HA). The ability of 20% liver homogenates (from 15 RHVD suspected cases) to haemagglutinate human type (O) RBCs revealed that 12 samples were positive with a titers ranged from 1/160 to 1/640.

Haemagglutination activity and elution pattern against human type (O), chicken and sheep RBCs. RHD virus has the ability to rapidly haemagglutinate human type (O), chicken and sheep RBCs at room temperature. Twelve out of 15 liver homogenates from RHVD suspected cases to haemagglutinate human type (O) RBCs revealed that 12 samples were positive with a titers ranged from 1/160 up to 1/640 (Table 1).

HA elution pattern of 12 RHD virus samples against human type (O). All tested samples retained the same HA titers, so RHD viruses are not eluted from human type ORBCs.

Result of electron microscopy. Non-enveloped, spherical virion, 35-40 nm diameter with surface projection were observed (Fig. 6).

Histopathological findings. Pathogenicity test in rabbits. The infected rabbits exhibiting the same clinical picture and post mortem lesions that described in naturally infected rabbit with mortality reached 100% within 3-5days post-experimental infection. In addition, liver homogenate prepared from dead animal has haemagglutination activity against human type (O) RBCs. On the other hand, the non-infected rabbits appeared healthy. Cross haemagglutination inhibition test. Haemagglutination of newly isolated RHD virus was not inhibited by serum prepared from local vaccinal strain (SVRI) On the other hand Haemagglutination was inhibited by using serum prepared from new isolate RHD virus (Table 5).

Cross-protection test. Rabbits in group A, that were vaccinated with local vaccinal strain (SVRI) and challenged with new isolate RHD virus, exhibited depression, convulsion, fever and dyspnea 48 h. post-infection, death recorded 12 h after appearance of signs. Seven out of 10 infected rabbits (70%) were died, rabbits in group B, that were vaccinated with vaccine prepared from local isolate exhibited mild respiratory, but no mortality was recorded. Moreover group C, non-vaccinated challenged group, the infected rabbits exhibited
Table (4) Results of cross protection test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Morbidity</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>HA activity*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>VSRI</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>KSHM05</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HA test was done on liver homogenates of challenged rabbits

Fig. (1): Rabbit showing cyanosis of lips and nostrils with frothy bloody nasal discharge.
Fig. (2): Rabbit liver showing focal and lobular necrosis with hemorrhagic area.
Fig. (3): Rabbit lung showing congestion and hemorrhagic area.
Fig. (4): Rabbit stomach showing engorged peripheral blood vessels with necrotic spots.
Fig. (5): Rabbit kidney showing severe congestion with urinary bladder impacted with discolored urine.
Fig. (6): Electron micrograph of negative stained Calici virus (x 30000).
depression, dyspnea, mucoid faeces, as well as frothy bloody nasal discharges observed in some cases. Mortality was 100%. Liver homogenate prepared from dead rabbits haemagglutinates human type (O) RBCs (Table 4).

**Pathogenicity test in field rats.** Rats inoculated with RHVD new isolate exhibited depression, convulsion, dyspnea and abdominal respiration rate was 70%. Post mortem examination of dead rats showed general congestion. Mortality four out of seven Liver homogenate prepared from dead rats, haemagglutinated human type (O) RBCs. Non-infected control rats exhibited, some depression.

**Discussion**

RHVD is one of the major viral disease affects and threatens rabbit populations. It was first described in the People’s Republic of China in 1984 (Liu et al., 1984), Europe between 1987 and 1989 (Morisse et al., 1991) and in Egypt during winter and spring of 1992 (Ghanem and Ismail, 1992).

RHVD is responsible for high economic losses in rabbitries due to the high mortality rates in adults or young animals older than two months.

In the present study, 15 outbreaks in rabbit farms with clinical picture similar to RHDV during the period of February to July 2005 were investigated. All rabbits flocks were vaccinated with local vaccinal strain (SVRI) through January, February or March, the outbreak firstly observed in advanced pregnant rabbit does or within one week post partum with mortality around 30%. The disease than spread among young rabbits (2-3 months age), with 50% mortality. This field observation lead us to speculate that more than one antigenically dissimilar RHD virus strains may exist.

In the present study, the clinical signs and lesions of naturally and experimentally infected rabbits, detection of viral agents by electron microscopy and haemagglutination activity of infected liver homogenate confirm that the disease described above has many similarities with description of RHVD. However, the outbreaks recorded in vaccinated flocks at susceptible age.

Cross haemagglutination inhibition assay and cross protection tests suggested that the proposed new isolates of RHD virus cannot be ruled out and not closely related to RHD classical vaccinal strain and there is little immunological relationship between isolated strain and the currently used vaccine. Higher mortality rates in cross protection test might be attributed to antigenic variation or deviation. These results are generally in agreement with that recorded by (Capucci et al., 1996) who characterized a variant strain of RHDV "Rain ham" originally isolated from England; the strain appeared to be antigenically indistinguishable from other known isolates. Moreover, (Le Gall et al., 2003) undertaken molecular epidemiological study of RHD virus in France between 1988 and 1995 and demonstrated that the multiple sequence alignments of the VP60 gene and antigenic analysis with monoclonal antibodies proved that these French isolates are two new isolates of the RHD virus variant. Furthermore, (Marchandeau et al., 2005) suggested the existence of non-protective antibodies due to putative RHD like virus during RHVD outbreak in France 2001, this viral strain was not putative variant and not neutralized by antibodies.

Pathogenicity test in field rats proved that field rats susceptible to infection with new isolates RHD virus might play a role in disease transmission to rabbits. Similar results reported by (Borja and Larios 1990) who mentioned that rats could act as important mechanical vectors of RHD virus. Xu, (1991) reported that rodents are mechanical means of transmission and cannot be ruled out.

These results indicated that the field rats play an epidemiological role for transmission of RHD virus among rabbit flocks and may act as potential carries so, field rats must be considered in programs and policies applied for the control of RHVD.

It could be concluded that the results of cross haemagglutination inhibition assay and cross protection test may confirm the possibility of antigenic variation of new isolate RHVD in recent outbreaks. Meanwhile, more investigations are required to examine these newly isolates using monoclonal antibodies, or molecular approaches to determine the possible antigenic variation and formulate effective and protective vaccines from field isolates.
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