Studies on susceptibility of native and white Lohmann layer chickens breeds to infectious bursal disease virus isolate FY.97

M. F. El-Kady¹, A. M. Dahshan¹, H. M. Madbouly²

¹Poultry Diseases Department, and ² Virology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Beni-Suef University Beni-Suef 62511, Egypt.

This study was done to evaluate susceptibility, protective titer level of maternal derived antibodies(MDAbs) of different chicken breed against virulent Infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) local isolate Fy97 and prediction the optimal time for vacction. All breeds were experimentally infected orally with IBDV isolate Fy97 every 5 days following detection of MDAbs by ELISA. Clinical signs, mortality, lesions and Bursal Histopathology and lesion score were taken as criteria for comparison. Morbidity rates were observed as \geq 30% in Favoumi and Dandrawi infected at 15 days of age and in Senawi and Baladi and Lohmann at 20 days of age All breeds showed clinical sings of infection at 30-35 days of age where Senawi breed showed the highest values (65and 70%) followed by Fayoumi (55 and 55%), Dandrawi (50%), Baladi (55-45%) and Lohmann (50-45%). Mortality rates due to IBD infection varied from 0 to 35% in respective to age, in Fayoumi and Lohmann breeds where maximum 35 and 40% occurred at 30 day of age; respectively .Mortality in Dandrawi and Senawi varied from 5 to 40% and pass in close manner at all intervals with the highest value at 30 days of age while Baladi chicks showed same values but lower only at 20 and 25 days. Mean lesion scores in Fayoumi were the lowest at all intervals followed by Lohmann, Senawi, Baladi and Dandrawi. Results of ELISA titers at time of infection showed that Senawi chicks having the highest titers followed by Lohmann, Baladi, Dandrawi and Fayoumi at most intervals. So it necessitates more clarification of the causes of these phenomena and the role of genetics in protection against IBDV infection.

The clinical sings and mortality of infectious bursal disease (IBD) usually persist 3-5 days with high morbidity and the mortality rate may reach 10-20 %(Cosegrove, 1962), while in some cases mortality have exceeded 30 % (Bygrave and Fraghar, 1970). The highly virulent strains of the standard serotype I IBDV showed natural mortality reached over 25-30% in broilers and 90% in experimental infection (Chettle *et al.*, 1989).

In Egypt the disease was first recorded in commercial broiler chickens on the basis of histopathological examination (El Sergany *et al.*, 1974). The first isolation and identification of IBDV was performed by (Ayoub and Malek, 1976). The outbreaks of IBD were reported by (Bastami, 1980; Mousa *et al.*, 1983 and 1986; Amer *et al.*, 1984 and 1986; El Batrawi and El Kady, 1990). The very virulent IBDV strain was reported in Egypt by (El Batrawi, 1990). Breed variation in disease susceptibility has already been shown for IBDV and many other diseases of poultry (Bumstead *et al.*, 1991; Hassan *et al.*, 2004) and it is clear that a range of different genes affect susceptibility to different diseases.

Recently, it was suggested that over all immunocompetence can be improved by line selection for high antibody response of young chicks to controlled immunization with a single antigen (Yunis *et al.*, 2002). This study was carried out to investigate the possible variation in breed susceptibility to experimental infection with very virulent IBD virus

Materials and methods

Embryonated chicken eggs (ECE). Specific pathogen free (SPF) ECE were obtained from Ministry of Agriculture and cultivation of lands, production of SPF embryonated eggs project Kom-Oshim , Fayoum.ECE were used for virus propagation and titration of the virulent IBDV strain through chorioallantoic membrane(CAM) route of inoculation .

Experimental chicks. A total number of 240 chicks were used during this study including 4 native breeds (Fayoumi, Dandrawi, Sinawi, and Baladi) were obtained from (Al-Azab project for poultry production, Fayoum) and one foreign breed (Lohmann white) obtained from (Al-Wadi commercial company for poultry production). Dam hens of native breeds were aged 22 weeks

and received oil IBD vaccine at 14 and 18 weeks of age; while the foreign breed was aged 26 weeks and vaccinated at 23 and 33weeks. From each breed 60 and 240 one-day old chicks were used for studying decaying of maternal antibody and studying breed susceptibility to tested IBDV virulent strains; respectively. These chicks were floor reared under natural day light and feed on balanced commercial ration.

IBDV Strains. Highly virulent IBDVs (VvIBDV) Isolate (Bursal homogenate) isolated from El-fayoum governorate, Egypt (1997) was kindly supplied by Newcastle diseases department, Veterinary Serum and Vaccine research Institute, Abbasia, Cairo, Egypt, this virus was propagated in SPF-ECE with end titers107^{.8} then propagated in 3 weeks old chicks for testing its pathogenicity before use (Ibrahim, 2001).

IBD ELISA Kits. IBDV-ELISA Kits were obtained from Kikegaard and Perry laboratories (Kpl), U.S.A.

Serum samples. Blood samples were collected in clean dry, sterile Wassermann tubes. The tubes containing blood samples were left in horizontal position for an hour at room temperature and then left for another hour at 4°C then centrifuged at 3000 rpm. for 15 minutes. Serum samples were carefully separated in a small Eppendorf vials, labeled and kept at -20°C till used.

IBDV titration. IBDV titration was performed according to (Thangavelu *et al.*, 1998).as SPF embryos were inoculated according to (Hitchner, 1970). The embryo infective dose (EID₅₀) was calculated according to (Reed and Munch 1938). **ELISA test procedures**. ELISA test was carried out according to manufacture instructions.

Histopathological Examination. Tissue specimens from bursa of experimentally infected and control chicks were fixed in 10% neutral formosaline, stained (H and E) according to (Culling, 1974).Bursal lesion score was adapted according to (Muskett *et al.*, 1979).

Bursa: body weight index. Bursa/body weight ratio, bursal index and bursa/ body weight index of 7 day old infected chickens were calculated according to (Sharma *et al.*, 1989). Chicks with bursa: body weight index lower than 0.7 was considered suffering from bursal atrophy (Lucio and Hitchner, 1979).

Challenge test. Each chick received 10^4 EID₅₀ /0.2 ml of the virus that was previously titrated via eye drop instillation at 10 days of age with

5days interval until 45days of age (Giambrone and Closser, 1990).

Experiment. Two hundred and forty 1-day old chicks were used for each breed. Birds of each breed were grouped into 8 groups; 30 chicks / group prepared for challenge and for each group 10 chicks as control.

Ten chicks were randomly collected for sera to measure IBDV MDAbs level by ELISA, at the time of IBDV challenge, Chicks were challenged at 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45 days of age each group inoculated with 0.2 ml of 10^4 EID₅₀ /chick via eye instillation, and observed daily for a period of 7 days with record of Clinical signs, morbidity and mortality rates and Post-mortem examination of dead chicks.

The remaining live chicks at the end of observation individually weighted scarified. Also Bursae were removed, weighed for calculation of bursa: body weight ratio, index and bursal index. Bursa was fixed in 10% formosaline for histopathological lesion score. Results are shows in Table (1-3).

Results

Clinical sing started to appear after 2 days post infection (P.I) as ruffled feathers incoordination, weakness and recumbancy while at 4thday P.I profused yellowish diarrhea, was seen at 4thd P.I lesion were enlarged bursa and hemorrhagic muscles in thigh. . Morbidity rates were observed as \geq 30% in Fayoumi and Dandrawi infected at 15 days of age and in Senawi and Baladi and Lohmann at 20 days of age. All breeds showed clinical sings of infection occurred at 30-35 days of age, where Senawi breed showed the highest values (65and 70%) followed by Fayoumi (55 and 55%), Dandrawi (50%), Baladi (55-45%) and Lohmann (50-45%). Mortality rates due to IBDV infection varied from 0 to 35% in respective to age, in Fayoumi and Lohmann breeds where maximum 35 and 40% occurred at 30 day of age; respectively .Mortality in Dandrawi and Senawi varied from 5 to 40% and pass in close manner at all intervals with the highest value at 30 days of age while Baladi chicks showed same values but lower only at 20 and 25 days. Mean lesion scores in Fayoumi were the lowest at all intervals followed by Lohmann, Senawi, Baladi and Dandrawi. (Table 2 and Fig. 2)

Results of ELISA titers at time of infection showed that Senawi chicks having the highest

		Mean Prechallenge ELISA titers												
Age/days	Breeds	Fayoumi	Dandrawi	Senawi	Baladi	Lohmann								
10		2993±318	3189±422	4225±455	3808±488	3986±474								
15		1594±255	2962±321	3167±366	3260±364	3320±328								
20		1061±118	2120±127	2866±274	2540±321	2740±341								
25		833±106	1571±138	2578±301	2161±243	2262±221								
30		638±98	1226±119	1740±237	1730±231	1736±156								
35		426±103	576±98	1479±154	1360±198	1198±123								
40		299±89	313±64	870±215	810±233	730±144								
45		69±36	293±56	470±75	320±89	340±73								

Table (1) Mean Prechallenge ELISA antibodies titers of all breeds.

titers followed by Lohmann, Baladi, Dandrawi and Fayoumi at most intervals (Table 1 and Fig.1).Control non infected birds showed no sings, mortalities or lesions at end of observation period as well as no detectable bursal tissue changes in histopathological examination at all intervals of the experiment. All infected chicken breeds chicks with virulent IBDV virus showed a Bursal weight and ratios at 7 days lower than their control non infected at all intervals .Bursal index in Fayoumi gradually decreased from 0.7 at 10 day infected bird to reach 0.4 in those infected at 25 and 30 days and reincreased at 40-45 days to reach 0.6.All these values were indicative for bursal atrophy but it varies from mild at 10, 15, 40 and 45 days of infection to moderate at 20, 35 days to severe at 25 and 30 days. The infected Dandrawi chicks showed Bursal index in infected birds were decreased from 0.6 at10 day infected bird to reach 0.5 in 40 days infected and further decreased to 0.4 at 45 days of age. Bursal index of Senawi were gradually decreased from 0.7 at 10 day infected bird to reach 0.6 in those infected at 15-35 days and decreased to reach 0.5 at 40 and 45 days of age. The Baladi infected chicks showed Bursal weight and ratios of 7 days infected birds were lower than their control non infected at all intervals. Bursal index of infected birds were 0.6 at 10 day infected bird till 35 days of infected birds and decreased in those infected at40 and 45 days of age. The infected Lohmann chicks with virulent IBD virus showed Bursal index of infected birds were 0.6 at 10 day infected bird till 35 days of infected birds and decreased in those infected at

40 and 45 days of age.

Histopathologically. The histopathological finding recorded in (Plate 1) proved that the examined bursal section showed the following:

The bursa of control -ve showed normal tissues and normal follicular distribution (0). Microscopic examination of the Bursae showed mild bursal lesion represented by follicular lymphoid necrosis and depletion of 5-25% of lymphocytes (score 1) especially at medulla of lymphoid follicles. The group challenged at 30,35days of age (3) the Bursae showed moderate follicular lymphoid necrosis and depletion of 5-25% of lymphocytes (score 2) in slight proliferation in the addition to fibrous intrfollicular connective tissue (i.e.fibroplasia) more than 50% of follicles damaged (score 3). The bursa lesion score was, (4), the cortex of lymphoid follicles there were infiltration of few numbers of heterophils 50-75% of lymphoid follicles were damaged, Intrfollicular connective tissue showed fibrosis. (Score 4) and some lymphoid follicles showed cystic cavitations and others were atrophied and plicae as 75-100% follicle damaged and fibroplasia (score 5).

Discussion

IBDV infection causing serious losses in young chickens, since 1986, Europe has experienced the emergence of "very virulent" (vv) strains of IBDV, which can cause up to 70% flock mortality in laying pullets (Chettle and Wyeth 1989; van den Berg and Meulemans, 1991), are antigenically similar to the "classical" strains, (Eterradossi *et al.*, 1992). Remarkably, however, vvIBDV can establish infection in the

Fig. (1)



Fig. (2)





		Fayoumi		-	Dandraw	i		Senawi			Baladi		Lohmann			
Age	Mb%	Mt%	L.S	Mb%	Mt%	L.S	Mb%	Mt%	L.S	Mb%	Mt%	L.S	Mb%	Mt%	L.S	
10	10	0	0.6	15	5	0.3	15	5	0	15	5	0.3	15	0	0	
15	30	15	1.3	40	15	0.5	20	10	0.6	25	10	0.8	25	5	0.3	
20	45	15	0.8	45	20	1.6	35	15	1	35	10	1.6	3	10	0.5	
25	45	25	1	40	25	2.5	35	30	1.1	40	20	1.6	40	30	1.5	
30	55	35	1.8	50	40	3	55	40	3.1	55	40	2.6	5	40	3	
35	50	20	1.8	50	35	3.5	65	35	3.5	45	30	3.6	45	30	3.1	
40	45	20	2.8	45	25	3.8	70	15	3.8	40	15	4.1	40	10	4	
45	45	10	3.5	45	10	4.5	60	10	4.3	25	10	5	25	10	4.3	

Table (2) Morbidity, Mortality and Lesions scores of IBD infected Different chicken breeds:

Mb--morbidity rate, Mt-mortality rate, L.S-bursa lesion score.

Table (3) Average body and bursal weights as well as bursal index and bursal body weight index of IBD infected all breeds group

Age/ Day	Lohmann			Baladi			Senawi					Dandra	awi		Fayoumi					
	Bwt	Bu.wt	B.I	B.B.I	Bwt	Bu.wt	B.I	B.B.I	B.wt	Bu.wt	B.I	B.B.I	Bwt	Bu.wt	B.I	B.B.I	Bwt	Bu.wt	B.I	B.B.I
10	82	0.211	2.8	0.7	90	0.185	2.2	0.6	77	0.158	2.4	0.7	85	0.165	2.0	0.6	105	0.189	1.2	0.8
15	98	0.169	1.9	0.6	100	0.170	1.9	0.6	85	0.146	2.0	0.6	96	0.150	1.7	0.6	182	0.178	1.0	0.7
20	105	0.162	1.8	0.5	110	0.218	2.2	0.6	97	0.162	1.8	0.6	103	0.167	1.8	0.6	210	0.160	0.8	0.6
25	118	0.158	1.5	0.4	130	0.246	2.1	0.6	112	0.158	1.5	0.6	115	0.159	1.5	0.6	238	0.177	0.8	0.6
30	125	0.175	1.5	0.4	142	0.248	2.0	0.6	128	0.175	1.5	0.6	133	0.154	1.2	0.6	281	0.241	0.8	0.5
35	138	0.198	1.6	0.5	158	0.229	1.7	0.6	147	0.168	1.3	0.6	142	0.177	1.3	0.6	389	0.211	0.7	0.6
40	175	0.201	1.2	0.6	233	0.244	1.0	0.5	210	0.193	0.9	0.5	177	0.147	0.9	0.5	420	0.214	0.5	0.5
45	189	0.221	1.3	0.6	308	0.248	0.8	0.4	295	0.221	0.7	0.5	276	0.179	0.7	0.6	475	0.193	0.4	0.4

Bwt==body weight,Bu.wt==bursal weight,B.I==bursal index,B.B.I==bursal body weight index



Plate (1): Bursal sections of 7 days post infection with IBDV stained with H and E.

A: Bursa of non infected control: Normal bursal tissue (lesion score: 0) X200.

B: Infected bursa showing: Slight lymphoid necrosis (arrow) and depletion with edematous connective tissue between follicles (lesion score:1) X200.

C: Infected bursa showing: Atrophied follicles (arrow) and edematous intrfollicular tissue (Lesion score: 2) X200.

D: Infected bursa showing: Vacculation of medullary cells (V) and necrosis in cortical cells (arrow) (Lesion score: 3) X200.

E: Infected bursa showing: Atrophied bursas with necrosis (N) with interfollicular fibrosis infiltrated with lymphocytes (arrow) (Lesion score: 4) X100.

F: Infected bursa showing: Severe lymphocytic depletion and necrosis (arrow) and medulla of lymphoid follicles showed vacuolated reticular cells cyst formation (C) (lesion score:5) X200.

face of levels of maternally derived antibodies that were previously protective against "classical" strains. While, vvIBDV infections also have been observed in Africa. Asia and, only recently, in South America (Ikuta et al., 2001).Clinical sing and morbidity rates were observed as \geq 30% in Fayoumi and Dandrawi infected at 15 days of age and in Senawi and Baladi and Lohmann at 20 days of age, so signs increased in severity with the increase of age and reduction of antibodies titer. (Hitchner, 1971), while (EI-Batrawi and EI-Kady, 1991) reported the Difference was relative to difference in neutralizing antibodies level transferred to the progeny, dose and virulence of challenge virus. Comparing the bursal index and bursa: body weight index of challenged chicks at different ages with control non challenged groups challenged at 10 days of age and older a lower bursal index than control suggesting bursal atrophy (>0.7) (Luico and Hitchner, 1971). All breeds showed clinical sings of infection at 30-35 days of age, Senawi breed showed the highest values (65and 70%) followed by Fayoumi (55 and 55%), Dandrawi (50%), Baladi (55-45%) and Lohmann (50-45%). Mortality rates due to IBD infection varied from 0 to 35% in respective to age, comparing results of bursal ratio and index with the reported prechallenge ELISA titers (Table 2and 3), Even when maternal immunity was effective in protecting against mortalities, it didn't prevent bursal damage as judged by histopathological lesions (Van Den Berg and Meulemans, 1991). Under our experimental conditions moderate to extensive histopathological lesions were seen in chicks challenged at 15 days of age and older despite protection against mortalities. The severity of histopathological lesions increased with age of birds and waning of MAbs. The challenge virus induced 60 - 65% mortalities in 38 days old chicks indicating maximum age of susceptibility as judged by severe clinical signs in all affected bird, typical post mortem lesions, bursal atrophy (bursal index lower than control and bursa: body was 0.4) weight index and extensive histopathological bursal lesions. However less mortality rates observed before this age were attributed to the passive protection conferred by MAbs (Van Den Berg and Meulemans, 1991).

Bursal lesion score in 10 days infected birds was 0.3 and increased in distribution to be stronger with age to reach maximum at 45 days of age. and .these results agreed with the recorded of native chickens play an important role in household food supply in rural Africa (Kitalyi, 1998) and recently have been raised in semi-intensive systems with more efficient output per bird. The control of immunosuppressive diseases is of prime importance for the nascent poultry industry in developing countries. In this regard, selection and enhancement of genetic resistance to economically important diseases should be considered. Variation in breed susceptibility has been documented for many poultry diseases (Bumstead et al., 1991). In the case of IBD, brown and white Leghorn breeds are more susceptible than broiler breeds (Bumstead et al., 1993). However, little information is known about the general genetic resistance of native Egyptian breeds to IBD (Hassan et al., 2002). This result may indicate that Fayoumi chicks MDAbs may be age resistance or genetic resistant. The differences of maternal antibody decay of IBDV with regard to breed variation and relative susceptibilities of local Egyptian breeds to vvIBDV were tested. The Dandrawi Fayoumi and Senawi were susceptible while the Baladi and Lohmann breeds was particularly resistant or of intermediate susceptibility The variation in mortality rates between breeds did not correlate with the vvIBDV-induced bursal lesions or the humoral antibody response to IBDV. All breeds had high titers of serum antibody at 7 days p.i. (P > 0.05), which declined in all breeds by 14 days p.i. This rapid response may be due to the highly acute nature of the disease. The antibody titers in vaccinated chickens before and after challenge with vvIBDV did not correlate with the mortality rates observed. It is difficult to identify specific innate or acquired immune responses that are responsible for IBD resistance. The B haplotype has been shown to influence the level of complement (Chanh et al., 1976), and complement has been implicated in the formation of immune complexes and development of clinical IBD (Skeeles et al., 1979). Chickens that lacked sufficient complement did not develop lesions. (Cook et al., 1992).In contrast, (Gelb et al., 1998) reported that antibody production in tears of vaccinated birds was not an accurate indicator of IBDV immunity as determined with challenge studies. It was suggested that mechanisms other than antibody mediatedimmunity in tears are important in IBDV resistance. Selection experiments on various

components of the immune response in livestock have provided some evidences that variation in maternal antibody transmission is at least partially genetically based (Grindstaff et al., 2003). Maternal antibody transmission is influenced by genes expressed in both females and offspring (Cheverud and Moore, 1994). Such evidence may lead to variable antibody titer patterns in dam, hen and yolk. IgY begins to be transported into the embryonic circulation by embryonic day 7, with low levels of transmission initially. IgY concentration in embryonic plasma increases slowly until embryonic day 14, (Kowalczyk et al., 1985) The level of MDAbs may be determined by interactions between the maternal genome and the offspring genome, or Chicken line variation may be related to different inherent abilities (Muggli et al., 1984; Linder et al., 2000; Kölliker and Richner, 2001; Hager and Johnstone, 2003)..Native chickens play an important role in household food supply in rural Africa (Kitalyi, 1998) Selection and enhancement genetic of resistance to economically important diseases should be considered, in breed Variation susceptibility has been documented for many poultry diseases (Bumstead et al., 1991). Variation in maternal antibody transmission is at least partially genetically based (Grindstaff et al., 2003). Prediction equation was calculated for each breed that allows the forecast of antibody titer decay. High correlation was observed between dependant and independent parameters of the regression analysis. Different breeds showed differences in the slope value that indicate differences in the decay of maternal antibodies and the predication of antibody titer for each breed as the Loghman breed showed highest value then Dandrawi breed while the Favoumi and Baladi were as the same values and on the other hand the Senawi showed the lowest value. Fayoumi breeds are more susceptible than other native breeds. Little information is known about the general genetic resistance of native Egyptian breeds to IBD. In search of more effective control measures to IBD, we investigated the resistance and susceptibility of unvaccinated native chickens to vvIBDV. The differences of maternal antibody decay of IBDV with regard to breed variation were evaluated. The relative susceptibilities of local Egyptian breeds to vvIBDV, There fore other attentions were directed toward breeding and genetics as a tool in disease prevention to select resistant breeds.

References

Amer, M. M.; Bastami, M. A.; and Khalifa, D. E. G. A. (1984): Studies on outbreaks of Infectious Bursal disease in chicken flocks. 1- Isolation and identification of causative strains. Beni-Suef Vet. Med. Res. 5: 158-171.

Amer, M. M.; Bastami, M. A.; Khalifa, D. E.; and Hamouda, A. S. (1986): Serologic incidence of Gumboro disease virus infection in chicken flocks in Cairo district. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 17 (33): 211-216.

Ayoub,N.N.K. and Malek,G. (1976):Identification of the pathogen Gumboro disease in Egypt. Monatshefte fur Vet.Med, 3(3):106-108.

Bastami, M. A. (1980): Studies on Gumboro disease in chickens and its relation to vaccination against some poultry diseases. Ph.D. thesis, poultry diseases, Cairo Univ., Egypt.

Bygrave, A. C. and Faragher J. T. (1970): Mortality associated and Gumboro disease. Vet. Rec. 86:758-759.

Bumstead, N.; Millard, B., J.; Barrow, B. A. and, Cook, J. K. A. (1991): The genetic basis of disease resistance in chickens. In: Owan, J.B., Axford, R.P.E. (eds.), Breeding for Disease Resistance in Farm Animals. CAB Int, Wallingford, England, pp. 10–23.

Bumstead, N.; Reece, R. L. and Cook, J. K. A. (1993):Genetic differences in susceptibility of chicken lines to infection with infectious bursal disease virus. Poult. Sci. 72: 403–410.

Chanh, T.C., Benedict, A.A. and Abplanalp, H. (1976). Association of serum hemolytic complement levels with the major histocompatability complex of chickens. Journal of Experim. Med., 144:555–561.

Chettle, N. J; and Wyeth, P. J. (1989): Outbreak of virulent Infectious bursal disease in East Anglia. Vet. Rec. 125: 271-272.

Cheverud, J. M. and Moore, A. J. (1994): Quantitative genetics and the role of the environment provided by relatives in behavioral evolution. In: Boake, C.R. (ed.), Quantitative Genetic Studies of Behavioral Evolution. University of Chicago Press, pp. 67–100.

Cook, J. K. A.; Otsuki, K..; Ellis, M. M.; Huggins, M. B. and Da-Silva-Martins, N.R. (1992): The secretory antibody response of inbred lines of chickens to avian infectious bronchitis virus infection. Avian Pathol., 21: 681–692.

Cosegrove, A. S. (1962): An apparently new disease of chicken – avian nephrosis Avian Dis. 6:385–389.

Culling, C.F.A. (1974): Handbook of histological and histochemical techniques.^{3rd}ed.,Redwood Burnld, Trowbridge and Esher .Great Britain.

El-Batrawi, A. M. (1990): Studies on severe outbreaks of infectious bursal disease. 1- The natural and experimental disease. Proc. 2nd Sci. Conf. Egypt. Vet. Poult. 339-352.

El-Batrawi, A. M. and El-Kady, M. F. (1990): Studies on severe outbreaks of infectious bursal disease. 3-Determination of the critical age of susceptibility in maternally immune chicks. Proc. 2nd Sci. Conf. Egypt. Vet. Poult. 264-269.

El-Sergany, H. A.; Ann, Moursi; Saber, M. S.; and Mohammmed, M. A. (1974): A preliminary investigation on the occurrence of Gumboro disease in Egypt. Egypt. J. Vet. Sci., 11:7.

Eterradossi, N.; Gauthier, C.; Reda, I.; Comte, S.; Rivallan, G.; Toquin D, de Boisseson C.; Lamande, J.; Jestin V.; Morin, Y.; Cazaban, C. and Borne P. M. (1992): Extensive antigenic changes in an atypical isolate of very virulent infectious bursal disease virus and experimental clinical control of this virus with an antigenically classical live vaccine. Avian Pathol. 33(4):423-31.

Giambrone, J. J. and Closser, .(1990):Efficacy of live vaccines against serologic serotypes of infectious bursal disease virus. Avian Dis. 45:144-148.

Gleb, J.; Eidison, C. S.; Fletcher, O. J.; and Kleven, S. H. (1998): Studies on interferon induction by Infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV). II-Interferon production in white Leghorn chickens infected with an attenuated or pathogenic isolant of IBDV. Avian Dis. 23: 634-645.

Grindstaff, J..L.; Edmund, D..; Brodie, I.. I. and Ketterson, E. D. (2003): Immune function across generations: integrating mechanism and evolutionary process in maternal antibody transmission Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 270, 2309–2319.

Hager, R., and Johnstone, R. A. (2003): The genetic basis of family conflict resolution in mice. Nature 421, 533–535.

Hassan, M. K.; Afify, M. A. and Aly, M. M. (2002): Studying the susceptibility of vaccinated and unvaccinated Egyptian chickens to very virulent infectious bursal ddisease virus. Avian Pathol., 31:149-156.

Hassan, M. K.; Afify, M. A. and Aly, M. M. (2004): Genetic resistance of Egyptian chickens to infectious bursal disease and Newcastle disease. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 36, 1–9.

Hitchner, S. B. (1970): Infectivity of infectious bursal disease virus for embryonating eggs.Poult.Sci.,49:511-516. Hitchner, S. B., (1971): Persistence of parent IBD antibody and its effects on susceptibility of young chickens. Avian Dis. 896-900.

Ikuta, N.; El-Atrache, J.; Villegas, P.; Garcia, M.; Lunge, V. R.; Fonseca, A. S. K.; Oliveira, C. and Marques, E. K. (2001): Molecular characterization of Brazilian infectious bursal disease viruses. Avian Dis., 45 (2):297-306.

Kitalyi, A.J. (1998): Village chicken production systems in rural Africa. Animal Production and Health, Paper No. 142. Rome: FAO.

Klasing, K.. C. (1998): Nutritional modulation of resistance to infectious diseases. Poult. Sci. 77: 1119–1125. Kölliker, M. and Richner, H. (2001): Parent–offspring conflict and the genetics of offspring solicitation and parental response. Anim. Behav. 62:395–407.

Kowalczyk, K.; Daiss, J.; Halpern, J. and Roth, T. F. (1985) :Quantitation of maternal-fetal IgG transport in the chicken. Immunol. 54:755–762.

Lawrence, E.C., Arnaud-Battandier, F., Grayson, J., Koski, I.R.,Dooley, N.J., Muchmore, A.V., Blaese, R.M., 1981. Ontogeny of humoral immune function in normal chickens, a comparison of immunoglobulin-secreting cells in bone marrow, spleen, lungs and intestine. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 43, 450–457.

Linder, N.; Waintraub, I.; Smetana, Z.; Barzilai, A.; Lubin, D.; Mendelson, E. and Sirota, L., (2000): Placental transfer and decay of varicella-zoster virus antibodies in preterm infants. J. Pediatr.137, 85–89. Lucio, B. and Hitchner, S. B. (1971):Infectious bursal disease emulsified vaccine: Effect upon neutralizing antibody levels in the dam and subsequent protection of the progeny. Avian Dis., 23 :(2):466-478.

Lucio, B. and Hitchner. S. B. (1979): Infectious bursal disease emulsified vaccine effect upon neutralizingantibody levels of infectious bursal disease virus from turkeys in the dam and subsequent protection of the progeny. Avian Dis 23:466–478.

Mousa, S.; Bayoumi, A. and Soliman, A. (1986): Infectious bursal in turkeys. II .Characterization of isolated virus.2nd .Sci.Cong..Fac.Vet.Med.

Mousa, S.; Bayoumi, A.; Shahata, M.; and Ibrahim, A. (1983): Infectious bursal disease in Fayoumi chickens. Assiut Univ. V. Med. J. 10(20): 181-185.

Muggli, N.E.; Hohenboken, W.D.; Cundiff, L.V.; Kelley, K.W.,1984. Inheritance of maternal immunoglobulin G1 concentration by the bovine neonate. J. Anim. Sci. 59, 39–48.

Muskett, J. C.; Hopkins, L. G.; Edwards, K. and Thornton, D. H. (1979): Comparison of two infectious bursal disease vaccine strains: Efficacy and potential hazards in susceptible and maternally immune birds. The Vet. Rec., 14:332-4.

Ibrahim, N. M. (2001): Comparative studies on infectious bursal disease virus vaccine in broilers chicken. Ph.D.Thesis,Cario University,Beni-Seuf Branch., Egypt.

Reed, L. J. and Muench, H. (1938): A simple method for estimating fifty percent endpoint. Am. J. Hyg. 27: 493-496. Sharma, J. M.;, Dohms, J. E. and Metz, A. L. (1989): Comparative pathogenesis of serotype 1 and variant serotype 1 isolates of infectious bursal disease virus and their effect on humoral and immune competence of specific-pathogen-free chickens. Avian Dis 33:112–124.

Skeeles, J. K.; Lukert, P. D.; De Buysscher, E. V.;, Fletcher, O. J. and Brown, J. (1979).: Infectious bursal disease viral infections. I.Complement and virusneutralizing antibody response following infection of susceptible chickens. Avian Dis., 23: 95–106.

Thangavelu, A.; Dhinakarraj,G.; Elankumaran,S..; MuraliManohar, B.; Koteeswaran A. and Venugoplan, A.T.(1998): Pathogenicity and immunosuppressive properties of Infectious bursal disease virus filed isolates and commercial vaccines in India.Trop. Ainmal. Health Prod., 167-176.

Van Den Berg, T. P. and Meulemans, G. (1991): Acute infectious bursal disease in poultry :protection afford by maternally derived antibodies and interference with live vaccination. Avian Pathol., 20(3):409-421.

Yunis, R.; Ben-David, A.; Heller, E. D. and Cahaner, A., (2002): Genetic and phenotypic correlations between antibody responses to Escherichia coli, Infectious bursa disease virus IBDV), and Newcastle disease virus NDV), in broiler lines selected on antibody response to *Escherichia coli*. Poult. Sci. 81:302–308.

دراسات للتعرف على قابلية السلالات المحليه واللوهمان الأبيض البياضه لفيروس التهاب غدة فابريشس المعدى (فيوم ٩٧)

تم استخدام عترة حقلية شديدة الضراوة من فيروس مرض الجمبورو (FY79) ،وذلك لدراسة مدى قابلية السلالات ضد العدوى بهذه العترة شديدة الضراوة من فيروس مرض جمبورو وذلك كل خمسة أيام من العمر بداية من العمر ١٠ أيام – حتى العمر ٤٥ يوم ودراسة مستوى معدل الأجسام المناعية الحمائي لكل سلالة وذلك باستخدام اختبار الأليزا وتوقع الوقت المناسب والمثالي للتحصيل، حيث أظهرت الأعراض الاكيلنينية ومعدلات الاصابة في سلالة الفيومي والدندراوي أقل ٣٠% عند عمر ١٥ يوم من العدوى وكانت لكل من سلالة السيناوي ،وسلالة البلدي ،وسلالة اللوهمان ٣٠ عند عمر ٢٠ يوم من العدوى. وقد قامت هذه الدراسة لتقييم مدى امتداد الحماية للأجسام المناعية الأمية ضد العدوى بالعترة شديدة الضراوة بفيروس مرض الجمبورو، كل السلالات أظهرت أعراض اكيلينيكية للعدوى عند عمر ٣٠، ٣٥ يوم. وكانت سلالة السيناوي بأعلى قيم لمعدلات الإصابة (٢٥% و ٧٠%) ،ويليها بعد ذلك سلالة الفيومي (٥٠% و ٥٥%)، سلالة الدندراوي (٥٠%) وسلالة البلدي (٥٥% و ٢٤%)، وأخيرا سلالة اللوهمان الأبيض (٥٠%) و (٥ ٤ %). وكانت معدلات الوفيات نتيجة الإصابة بفيروس شديد الضراوة بمرض الجمبورو يختلف من (٠% إلى ٣٥%) بالمقارنة بالعمر، حيث أن سلالة الفيومي واللوهمان الأبيض حققا أقصى معدلات لنفوق (٣٥% و ٤٠) على الترتيب وذلك عند عمر ٣٠ يوم. وكانت معدلات النفوق في كل من سلالة الدندراوي وسلالة السيناوي تتراوح ما بين (٥% إلى • ٤ %) على الترتيب، وذلك بطريقة متقاربة عند كل فترات العدوى ووصلت أعلاها عند عمر ٣٠ يوم بينما سلالة البلدى أوضحت نفس القيم ولكن بمعدل أقل فقط عند عمر ٢٠ و ٢٥ يوم. وكان متوسط نتيجة الإصابة الهيستولوجية (Lesion Score) في سلالة الفيومي أقل نتيجة (Score) في كل فترات العمر يليها بعد ذلك سلالة اللوهمان الأبيض، سلالة السيناوي، سلالة البلدي وأخيرا سلالة الدندراوي. وكانت نتائج اختبار الأليزا لقياس معدل الأجسام المناعية عند بداية العدوى أظهرت أن كتاكيت السيناوي هي أعلى المعدلات في الأجسام المناعية يليها بعد ذلك اللوهمان، البلدي، الدندراوي، وأخيرا الفيومي عند أغلب الفترات. لذلك من الضروري أن تكون دراسات أكثر لتوضيح أسباب هذه الظاهرة ودور الجينات الوراثية في الحماية ضد العدوى بفيروس مرض الجمبورو.