

## *Adaptation of locally isolated equine herpesvirus (EHV-1) on different cell lines*

Safaa A. Warda

*Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbasia, Cairo, Egypt.*

Locally isolated EHV-1 (2002) was successfully adapted to different available cell lines (BHK, VERO, MDBK and MDCK). Characteristic cytopathic effect (CPE) was observed from the first passage and the virus growth became regular with constant incubation periods at 2<sup>nd</sup> day in BHK and VERO cells 4<sup>th</sup> day in both MDBK and MDCK cells post inoculation with maximum titers of 7, 8.5, 7.75, 6.2 log<sub>10</sub> TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml in BHK<sub>6</sub>, VERO<sub>10</sub>, MDBK<sub>5</sub> and MDCK<sub>5</sub> cell lines; respectively. Growth kinetics of EHV-1 in VERO cells, showed initial increase in cell associated viruses (CAV) and cell free viruses (CFV) were at 8 and 48 hours post inoculation then reaching their peak at 72 and 96 hours post inoculation in CAV and CFV; respectively. Detection of viral protein in infected cells was done using IFT. It revealed that high fluorescence was shown at 4 till 72 hours post inoculation in CAV and 72 hours in CFV. In conclusion, EHV-1 is more cell associated and IFT is considered as a rapid and sensitive test for detection of viral protein

EHV-1 is the major cause of abortion at the last trimester of pregnancy in equines (Allen and Bryans, 1986, O'Callaghan ; Osterrieder, 1999). Moreover, it causes a respiratory manifestation in newly born foals and yearling, and nervous syndrome in adults. EHV-1 was propagated in equine kidney cells with formation of intranuclear inclusions and CPE after 2-5 days (Shimizu *et al.*, 1957). Also, it could be grown in rabbit and ovine kidneys (Plummer and Waterson, 1963; Girard *et al.*, 1963), liver of chicken embryo and kidney epithelium of G. pig (Zhelev and Semerdzhiev, 1962; Randall and Lawson (1962) also they reported that EHV-1 may be proliferated in Hella cells then passaged in L cells. Mahnel and Hartl (1971); Kukria *et al.*, (1998) stated that the antigens of EHV-1 propagated in BHK cells were superior to that prepared from primary kidney cells. EHV-1 was extensively passaged in bovine kidney (Sugahara *et al.*, 1994) and can be isolated in Madin Darby bovine kidney (MDBK) cells (OIE, 2000). Also, EHV-1 could be propagated in rabbit kidney (RK-13) (De-Simon and Lodetti, 1971; Dutta *et al.*, 1983) and in VERO cells (O'Callaghan and Osterrieder, 1999; OIE, 2000).

Rattan *et al.*, (1999) adapted EHV-1 in donkey kidney cells (DKC) producing a characteristic CPE. The virus titres were equivalent to those obtained from horse kidney cell culture. The present study was planned to propagate EHV-1 in different cell lines (VERO, BHK, MDBK and MDCK) and a comparison occurred between them and egg propagated

virus. The produced virus could be used as antigen in serological tests as well as in vaccine preparation.

### **Material and methods**

**Virus.** Locally isolated Egyptian strain of EHV-1 isolated from aborted mares (Hassanein *et al.*, 2002; Safaa, 2003). The estimated virus infectivity titre was 6.3 TCID<sub>50</sub>.

#### **Cell cultures.**

**African green monkey kidney cells (VERO).** It was obtained from FADDL, Plum Island, USA.

**Madin Darby canine kidney cells (MDCK).** It was supplied by VACSERA, WI-Agouza, Giza, Egypt.

**Madin Darby bovine kidney cells (MDBK).** It was supplied by Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbasia, Cairo, Dept. of Rinderpest Like Diseases.

**Baby hamster kidney cells (BHK).** Both MDBK and BHK were obtained from Rift Valley Fever Dept., Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbasia, Cairo. These cell lines were used for virus propagation and adaptation.

**Embryonated chicken eggs (ECE).** Specific pathogen free (SPF), 11-13 days, were used for virus isolation and propagation. It was obtained from SPF Farm, Koum Osheim, Fayoum, Egypt.

#### **Biological materials.**

**Rabbit anti-EHV-1 hyperimmune serum conjugated with FITC.** It was locally prepared according to (Hudson and Hay, 1989) in Equine Diseases Dept., Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbasia, Cairo, Egypt.

**Standard lapinized antisera against EHV-1 and EHV-4.** They were kindly supplied by Dr. Jannet Wellington, Dept. of Biological Science, Macquarie Univ., MSW, Australia. It was used for virus identification.

**Virus propagation and adaptation on cell lines.** It was applied according to (Dutta *et al.*, 1983). Different cell cultures were grown with Eagle's minimal essential medium (EMEM) which had been supplemented with 10% newly born calf serum (NCS, and antibiotics, penicillin, 100 U/ml; streptomycin, 100 µg/ml). Monolayer cultures was washed 3 times with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) pH 7.2 to remove the remained serum, then it was inoculated with 0.5 ml stock virus with infectivity titre  $6.2 \log_{10}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml. After incubation at 37°C for 1 hour, washed twice with PBS then EMEM with antibiotics but without NCS was added. The 4 onze bottles were incubated at 37°C and examined daily, the virus was harvested when maximum cytopathic effect was observed and it was serially passaged. Virus infectivity titre was assayed according to (Reed and Muench, 1938).

**Virus propagation on ECE.** I was applied according to (Warda, 2003). Locally isolated virus was inoculated into chorioallantoic membrane.

**Virus identity and purification.** Virus purification was done according to (Azmi and Field 1993) and identity was made by SNT using reference antisera against EHV-1 and EHV-4 (Bernhardt, 1993).

**Growth curve of the 4th passage of EHV-1 on VERO cells.** Aliquots of tissue culture fluid were harvested at different intervals of time 4, 6, 24, 36, 40 and 96 hours post infection (PI). Virus titration of both cell free virus (CFV) and cell associated virus (CAV) were assayed (El-Kabbany, 1994).

**Detection of EHV-1 by direct IFT.** Studying the development of specific viral protein of both CFV and CAV (Khaled *et al.*, 2004) using the locally prepared anti-EHV-1 serum conjugated with FITC (Warda *et al.*, 2006).

### Results and Discussion

EHV-1 is contagious disease affect all ages of equines, causing abortion in pregnant mares, perinatal mortality and respiratory manifestation in yearling and neurological syndrome in adults. So, vaccination should be recommended.

The potency of the tissue culture vaccine is mainly related to the antigenicity of the harvested virus and its infectivity titre which showed variations within different cell lines.

The aim of this work is based on detection of the best line which is valid for EHV-1 isolation and propagation as well as for vaccine production. So, BHK, VERO, MDBK and MDCK cell lines were used.

Table (1) showed that CPE in BHK cells was detected in the first passage at 4 days post inoculation till it reached 2 days at the 6<sup>th</sup> passage while CPE in MDBK cells was detected at 7 days till reaching 4 days at 5<sup>th</sup> passage meanwhile, in MDCK it was 4 days at the 5<sup>th</sup> passage.

CPE was developed as rounding and ballooning with some syncytial formation. These changes became generalized and destroyed the cell sheet. This finding coincidence with that found by Plummer and Waterson (1963); Shimizu *et al.*, (1957); OIE (2000).

Also table (1), showed the infectivity titre of different infected cell lines revealed that the maximum titre of EHV-1 adapted on BHK, VERO, MDBK and MDCK were 7, 8.5, 7.75 and  $6.2 \log_{10}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml, respectively.

VERO cell line was used as a model to study the growth kinetic of EHV-1 due to its high titre at the same passage compared with the other used cell lines.

The growth kinetics of EHV-1 on VERO cells (table 2) showed initial increase in the titre of CAV and decreased CFV occurred between 8 and 48 hours post inoculation (hrs PI) then continued to increase reaching their peak at 48 and 120 hrs PI respectively.

Concerning to IFT (Table 3, Photos 1, 2), it revealed that high nuclear fluorescence was shown starting at 4 till 72 hrs PI in CAV while in CFV was at 72 and 96 hrs PI.

This result coincidence with Darlington and James (1966); Weiland and Dini-Troides (1970); Khaled *et al.*, (2004) who observed the first fluorescence in the infected cells appeared in the nucleus at 4 hrs PI as a homogenous mass of fluorescent material compared with negative control. Also, it was present in the perinuclear cytoplasm at 6 hrs PI then the viral antigen accumulated at the cell surface. IFT in this work showed its great sensitivity and validity for detection of CAV moreover, it could be detected earlier before the development of CPE (Gunn, 1992; OIE, 2000).

The infectivity titre of egg adapted virus was 9.5 EID<sub>50</sub>/0.2 ml. However, cell culture propagated virus is more easy, soft handling and sterile.

From aforementioned data, the conclusion was:

**Table (1): Infectivity titre of EHV-1 in different cell lines**

No. of passage on different cell lines	Incubation period	Infectivity titre ( $\log_{10}$ TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml)
<b>I. BHK cells</b>		
1 <sup>st</sup> passage	4 days	6.20
4 <sup>th</sup> passage	3 days	6.75
6 <sup>th</sup> passage	2 days	7.00
8 <sup>th</sup> passage	2 days	7.00
<b>II. VERO cells</b>		
1 <sup>st</sup> passage	5 days	7.50
3 <sup>rd</sup> passage	5 days	8.00
8 <sup>th</sup> passage	2 days	7.75
10 <sup>th</sup> passage	2 days	8.50
11 <sup>th</sup> passage	2 days	8.50
<b>III. MDBK cells</b>		
1 <sup>st</sup> passage	7 days	6.25
3 <sup>rd</sup> passage	6 days	6.25
4 <sup>th</sup> passage	5 days	6.75
5 <sup>th</sup> passage	4 days	7.75
8 <sup>th</sup> passage	4 days	7.75
<b>IV. MDCK cells</b>		
1 <sup>st</sup> passage	5 days	6.00
3 <sup>rd</sup> passage	4 days	6.25
5 <sup>th</sup> passage	4 days	6.25

**Table (2): Growth kinetic of infected VERO cells with the 3rd passage of locally isolated EHV-1**

Time post inoculation (hours)	Infectivity titre ( $\log_{10}$ TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml)	
	Cell associated virus	Cell free virus
4	4.0	6.55
8	4.2	ND
24	5.5	4.0
36	5.8	4.0
48	6.3	5.0
72	6.3	6.3
96	6.0	7.0
120	6.0	8.0

**Table (3): Correlation studies between CPE and IFT of infected VERO cells with EHV-1**

Time post inoculation (hours)	IFT		CPE
	Cell associated virus	Cell free virus	
4	+++	++	-
8	+++	+	-
24	+++	+	-
36	+++	++	+
48	+++	++	+
72	++	++	+++
96	+	++	+++


CPE: Cytopathic Effect

IFT: Immunofluorescent technique


VERO, BHK, MDBK and MDCK cell lines could be used for propagation and adaptation of EHV-1. EHV-1 is more cell-associated (Darlington and James 1966; Weiland; Dini-Troides, 1970). IFT is considered as rapid and sensitive test for detection of viral protein in these infected cells.

### References

- Allen, G.P. and Bryans, J.T. (1986): Prophylaxis of equine herpes virus-1 infection. Program in Vet. Microbiol. And Immunol., 1: 78-144.
- Azmi, M. and Field, H.J. (1993): Interaction between EHV-1 and EHV-4: T-cell responses in murine model. J. Virol., 74 (11): 2339-2345.



**Photo (1): Diffuse and intensive cytoplasmic fluorescence of infected VERO cells with EHV-1 stained by FAT (Mag. 40x)**



**Photo (2): Normal sheet of non-infected VERO cells, with faint greenish illumination (Mag. 40x)**

**Bernhardt, D. (1993):** In-vitro diagnosis of EHV-1 and EHV-4. *Tierarztliche Umschau*, 48 (2): 67-68, 70-72.

**Darlington, R.W. and James, C. (1966):** Biological and morphological aspect of the growth of equine abortion virus. *J. Bact.*, 92: 250-257.

**De-Simone, F. and Lodetti, E. (1971):** Identification of equine rhinopneumonitis virus in tissue cultures by means of fluorescent antibody technique. *Vet. Italiana*, 22 (5-6): 266-276.

**Dutta, S.K.; Talbot, M.S. and Myrup, M.S. (1983):** Detection of EHV-1 antigen and the specific antibody by ELISA. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*, 44 (10): 1930-1934.

**El-Kabbany, M.M. (1994):** Evaluation of some recent techniques for detection of African horse sickness viral antigens and antibodies. Ph.D. Thesis, Virology, Cairo Univ.

**Gunn, H.M. (1992):** A direct fluorescent antibody technique to diagnose abortion caused by equine herpesvirus. *Irish Vet. J.*, 44: 37-40.

**Girard, A.; Greig, A.S. and Mitchell, D. (1963):** Equine virus abortion in Canada. II. Isolation of virus and detection of antibodies in tissue culture. *Cornell Vet.*, 53: 88-98.

**Hassanein, M.M.; Maysa, H.; El-Bagoury, F.; Khaled, M. A.; El-Kabbany, M.M.A. and Daoud, M.A. (2002):** Trials for isolation and identification of equine herpesvirus abortion in Egypt. *Vet. Med. J., Giza*, 50 (4): 977-986.

**Hudson, L. and Hay, F.C. (1989):** Antibody as a probe practical immunology. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition.

**Kukricia, A.; Love, D.M.; Whalley, J.M. and Field, H.J. (1998):** Study of the protective immunity of co-expressed glycoprotein H and L of EHV-1 in a murine intranasal infection model. *Vet. Micro.*, 60 (1): 1-11.

**Kalad, M. A ; El-Kabbany, M.M.A.; Safaa, A. Warda and Soliman, I.M.A. (2004):** Studies on some rapid sensitive technique for detection of EHV. *Suez Canal Vet. Med., J. VII* (2): 463-470.

**Mahnel, H. and Hartl, G. (1971):** Complement-fixing antigen of EHV-1 from cell culture and its use in serological diagnosis. *Zentralblatt fur Vet.*, 18B (6): 424-429.

**O'Callaghan, D.J. and Osterrieder (1999):** Equine herpesvirus in: *Encyclopedia of Virology*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Vol. 1, Academic Press, pp. 508-514.

**OIE (2000):** Equine rhinotracheitis. *Manual of Standards Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines*. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. Part 2 Chapter 2.5.7.

**Plummer, G. and Waterson, A.P. (1963):** Equine herpesvirus. *Virology*, 19: 412-416.

**Randall, C.C. and Lawson, L.A. (1962):** Adaptation of plaque virus to Earl's L cells in serum free medium with plaque formati on. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.*, 110: 487-489.

**Rattan, B.; Rao, A.K.; Khurana, S.K. and Yadav, M.P. (1999):** An outbreak of perinatal foal mortality due to

equine herpesvirus. *Ind. J., Virol.*, 15 (1): 81-83.

**Reed, L.T. and Muech, H. (1938):** A simple method of estimating 50% end points. *Am. J. Hyg.*, 27: 493.

**Shimizu, T.; Ishizaki, R.; Kono, Y. Ishii and Matumoto, M. (1957):** Propagation of equine abortion virus in horse kidney tissue culture. *Jap. J. Exp. Med.*, 27: 175-180.

**Sugahara, Y.; Okazari, K. and Kono, Y. (1994):** Alteration of EHV-1 gc gene caused by serial passages in non-equine cell culture. In: *Equine Infectious Dis.*, VII Proc., 7<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf.

**Zhelev, W. and Semerdzhiev, B. (1962):** Growth of

equine abortion virus in tissue culture and its cytopathic action. *Izv. Vet. Inst. Virussologiya, Sofia L*, 15-26.

**Warda, S. A. (2003):** Studies on equine viral abortion in Egypt. Ph.D. Thesis, Virology, Benha Univ., Egypt.

**Warda, S. A. (2006):** Trials for preparation and evaluation of peroxidase and fluorescent isothiocyanate conjugated antisera against EHV-1. *Minofiya Vet. J.*, IV (1): 55-62.

**Weiland, E. and Dini-Troides, J. (1970):** Comparing the sensitivity of FA and virus isolation. *Berlin Munchen Tierarzliwschr*, 5: 95.

### تمرير وأقلمة فيروس الإجهاض المعزول محلياً من الخيول على خلايا نسيجية مختلفة

تم بنجاح أقلمة فيروس الإجهاض المعزول محلياً من الخيول على أربعة أنواع من خلايا الزرع النسيجي (VERO, BHK, MDBK, MDCK). ولقد وجد أن معدل نمو الفيروس أصبح منتظم ويعطى فترة حضانة ثابتة وهي يومين في خلايا VERO, BHK ٤ يوم في كلا من خلايا VERO, MDBK وكان أعلى معدل عياري هي ٧, ٥, ٨, ٧, ٥, ٧, ٢, ٦ جرعة نصف معدية/ملي في خلايا VERO, BHK, MDCK, MDBK على التوالي وقد قسم عمل منحنى نمو الفيروس في خلايا VERO وكانت على عياريّة للفيروس المرتبط بالخلايا والفيروس الحر هي ٤٨, ١٢٠ ساعة بعد الحقن على التوالي. أيضاً تم رصد البروتين الفيروسي بواسطة اختبار الفلورسين المناعي وظهر أعلى درجة عند ٤, ٨, ٤ ساعة بعد الحقن في حالة الفيروس المرتبط بالخلايا، ٧٢ ساعة في الفيروس الحر. قد استنتج من هذه الدراسة أن الفيروس أكثر ارتباطاً بالخلايا وكذلك اختبار المناعي المشع حساس وأسرع اختبار للكشف عن البروتين للفيروس.

