

The Hypolipidemic and Antioxidant Effects of Probiotic, Prebiotics and Natural Lipotropic Factors on Hyperlipidemia and Fatty Liver in Broilers

Doaa S. Mohamed¹, M. F. El Kady², K. M. Hassanin¹, K. S. Hashem¹

¹ *Department of Biochemistry, and* ² *Department of Poultry Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef 62511, Egypt.*

Fatty liver is considered a serious problem in broilers as liver is the main organ involved in metabolism of all absorbed substances. In addition, fatty liver has a direct impact on the growth and performance of the chicken. One of the modern approach in controlling metabolic upset, is the use of probiotics, prebiotics in controlling this problems. Here we tried to summarize the protective role of some probiotics, prebiotics and other natural lipotropic factors and their mode of action against hperlipidemia and fatty liver in broilers.

Contents	Page
Introduction	81
Role of liver in lipid metabolism	82
Hazard effects of fatty liver on broiler performance and growth	83
Hyperlipidemia and oxidative stress	83
Origin & identity of Reactive Oxygen Species(ROS)	83
Mechanism of action of ROS	84
Natural antioxidants(NANTs)	84
Probiotics	84
Definition	84
Probiotics & lipid profile	84
Prebiotics (Non digestable carbohydrates) (NDCs)	85
Definition	85
Prebiotics as functional food ingredients	85
Prebiotics as antioxidant	85
NDCs as ROS scavenger	85
NDCs acting as prebiotics and antioxidants	85
NANTs as ROS scavenger in stomach	86
Synbiotics and immune modulatory effect	86
lipotropic factors and Their effects	86
L-carnitine	87
Conclusion	87
References	87

Introduction

Mild degree of feed restriction may reduce incidence & degree of numerous pathologies by decrease energy expenditure and increase serum antioxidant enzymes in broiler but it depended on degree of restriction. Sever feed restriction cause stress on chicken (Xiaojing *et al.*, 2010). The repeated cycles of fasting and refeeding has a negative effect on broiler performance and feed conversion rate. It is associated with changes in lipid metabolism such as increased serum TG, cholesterol levels and hepatic lipogenic enzymes such as (maleic enzyme, acetyl coA carboxylase & fatty acid synthase). Lipogenesis increased as a result of up-regulation of hepatic lipogenic enzyme through increase in mRNA gene

expression level of these enzymes (Wang *et al.*, 2009). These metabolic disorders are associated with lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress (Matsuzawa *et al.*, 2007).

Livestock performance and feed efficiency are closely interrelated with the qualitative and quantitative microbial load of the animal gut (Huyghebaert *et al.*, 2011). It is generally accepted that the bacterial community of the intestinal tract has a major impact on gastrointestinal function, and can thereby also influence animal health and productivity. Considerable efforts have been made to modulate the intestinal microorganisms by dietary means in such a way that the health of the host is beneficially affected (Brady *et al.*, 2000).

The major function of gut microbiota is digestion of non digestible carbohydrates and production of short chain fatty acids (SCFA) which are sources for energy. these SCFA influence cell proliferation and differentiation, mineral absorption and inhibit growth of pathogenic microorganisms (Compare *et al.*, 2012). The probiotics are defined as live organisms that, when ingested in adequate amounts, exert a health benefit to the host. The most commonly used probiotics are Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria (Eamonn, 2010). The probiotics are used to replace the antibiotics growth promoters to overcome the antibiotic resistance which may develop due to over use of these antibiotics. The using of probiotics increase body weight and improve feed conversion rate. It also lowering the serum TG and TC level (Alkhalaf *et al.*, 2010).

Prebiotics is defined as selectively fermented ingredients that allow specific changes, both in the composition and/or activity in the gastrointestinal microbiota that confers benefits upon host well-being and health (Gibson *et al.*, 2004). For a food ingredient to be classified as a prebiotic, it must fulfill the following criteria: (1) Neither be hydrolyzed nor absorbed in the upper part of the gastrointestinal tract. (2) Be selectively fermented by one or a limited number of potentially beneficial bacteria commensally to the colon, e.g. bifidobacteria and lactobacilli, which are stimulated to grow and/or become metabolically activated. (3) Prebiotics must be able to alter the colonic microflora towards a healthier composition (Gibson, 1998). Attempts have been made to increase the number of Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus bacterial strains, which are considered to have health-promoting properties. These strains are also assumed to be stimulated by various types of non digestible carbohydrates (NDC) (Yu *et al.*, 2005). The most commonly used prebiotics are fructooligosaccharide, galactooligosaccharide and mannanoligosaccharide. The prebiotics induce their action through modulation of gut microbiota and enhance their action. They decrease denovo fatty acid synthesis and have antioxidant effect. The use of mixture of prebiotics and probiotics (synbiotic) may improve the metabolic disorders and oxidative stress which are induced by irregular feeding.

L-carnitine is a non-protein amino acid (Mardones *et al.*, 1999). L-carnitine is synthesized from the essential amino acids lysine and methionine with the assistance of vitamin C

and this occurs in the liver, as well as in the kidneys and the brain (Lohninger *et al.*, 1987). It is an endogenous cofactor that enhances carbohydrate metabolism and reduces the intracellular accumulation of toxic metabolites under ischaemic conditions (Qi *et al.*, 2004) and plays an important role in the transport of long-chain fatty acids (Bremen, 1983). Under certain conditions, the demand for l-carnitine may exceed an individual's capacity to synthesize it, making it a conditionally essential nutrient (Gross and Henderson, 1984). In carnitine deficiency, fatty acid oxidation is reduced and fatty acids are diverted into triacylglycerol synthesis, particularly in the liver. This process is reversible with the addition of sufficient quantities of carnitine to the diet (Dowell, 1989).
Role of liver in lipid metabolism.

In avian species, the liver is the main site of de novo fatty acid synthesis, accounting for 95% of all lipid production in young chicks, while the adipose tissue serves only as a storage tissue (Griffin *et al.*, 1992; Leveille 1969). So there is now an apparently general assumption that almost all the fat that accumulates in broiler adipose tissue is synthesized in the liver or derived from the diet (Griffin *et al.*, 1992). This organ supplies energy substrates to peripheral tissues by the Cori cycle and glycogen catabolism and is important for detoxification (Yang *et al.*, 2008).

Lipid metabolism in poultry differs from that in mammals with the liver being the main organ involved in its metabolic activity (compared to adipose tissue in mammals). The synthesis and decomposition of fatty acids is an important part of lipid metabolism. the catabolism of fatty acids (beta-oxidation) is carried out in the mitochondria, whereas fatty acids are synthesized in the cytoplasm of the hepatocyte being the principle location in poultry (Chen *et al.*, 2012).

As one of the main constituents of the body, adipose tissue plays an important role in energy storage and mobilization, as well as, endocrine regulation of animal energy homeostasis (van *et al.*, 1992). In poultry, triglyceride is synthesized mainly in the liver, then transported and stored in subcutaneous fat, visceral fat, and other organs, such as liver and muscle (Hirsch *et al.*, 1998).

Lipogenesis and fatty acid oxidation are important metabolic pathways in controlling liver triacylglycerol accumulation. In general, body fat accumulation may be considered the net result of the balance among dietary absorbed fat,

endogenous fat synthesis (lipogenesis) and fat catabolism via beta-oxidation (lipolysis). Thus, if the amount of absorbed fat is the same, lower body fat deposition may be attributed to increasing fat catabolism or diminishing endogenous fatty acid synthesis or both processes (Sanz *et al.*, 2000).

The decrease in serum triglyceride in animals derives from the reduction of very low density lipoprotein–triglyceride secretion and the inhibition of hepatic lipogenesis through the reduction of activity and gene expression of lipogenic enzymes (Delzenne *et al.*, 2001). Hepatocytes are the main place for lipid metabolism and there are many substances affecting lipid metabolism such as the hepatic lipid metabolism enzymes and lipid receptors (Xiaofei *et al.*, 2011).

Hazard effects of fatty liver on broiler performance and growth.

For several decades, the commercial poultry industry has focused on enhancing bird growth rate, but little attention has been paid to the concomitant abdominal fat deposition. Obesity have a negative effect on productivity. In meat-type chickens, excess fat deposition could decrease feed efficiency during rearing and the yield of lean meat after processing (Leclercq *et al.*, 1990). Excessive abdominal fat deposition not only declines chicken quality, but also decreases feed conversion rate (Zerehdaran *et al.*, 2004).

Fatty liver is associated with hyperlipidemia and hyperuricemia. There is a relationship between the fatty liver and the lipidemia. AST, ALT, cholesterol, triglyceride and LDL-C concentrations were significantly higher and HDL-C was significantly lower in fatty liver than in normal liver (Chi *et al.*, 2003). The pathogenesis of liver damage in hyperlipidemia consists of triglyceride and fatty acid accumulation in the liver, oxidative stress and inflammation of the liver (Capeau, 2008).

Hyperlipidemia and oxidative stress.

The high energy diet can be associated with increased oxidative stress and free radical species produced in cellular membranes (Prasad and Kalra, 1993). Dyslipidemia is characterized with abnormalities in lipid metabolism such as increase in serum TG, TC, LDL and decrease of HDL levels (Koruk *et al.*, 2003). As a consequence of dyslipidemia, lipid peroxidation occurs (Matsuzawa *et al.*, 2007). Hypercholesterolemia (HC) induces the production of ROS such as superoxide (Munzel

et al., 2010). High plasma lipid levels can harden the arteries or speed up the process of atherosclerosis which increases the risk of heart disease (Wei *et al.*, 2009).

Abnormal lipid metabolism is a main cause of dyslipidemia which is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease, obesity, cholestasis, and overall mortality (Rizvi *et al.*, 2003). The high levels of fat increase the fat-mediated oxidative stress and decrease antioxidative enzyme activity (Slim *et al.*, 1996) and so the antioxidants are used in protection against dyslipidemia induce oxidative stress (Mary *et al.*, 2003; Gorinstein *et al.*, 2006).

The pathogenesis of liver damage in hyperlipidemia (HLP) consists of triglyceride and fatty acid accumulation in the liver, oxidative stress and inflammation of the liver. Both conditions can be induced by high energy diets which are believed to play a key role in insulin resistance and dyslipidemia, resulting in increased oxidative stress and a proinflammatory environment (Capeau, 2008). When the reactive oxygen species (ROS) production increases, an oxidative stress may occur. the very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) may overload the antioxidant system. HLP had lower plasma superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity and higher ROS production. The plasma triglyceride concentration was positively correlated to ROS release (Araujo *et al.*, 1995).

Origin and identity of ROS (reactive oxygen species).

ROS are generated in eukaryotic organelles such as mitochondria (respiration), chloroplasts (photosynthesis) and peroxisomes (fatty acid degradation) and in the electron transfer chains. ROS can also be produced extracellularly, by the action of NADPH oxidases in phagocytes and colon epithelial cells (Gara *et al.*, 2010). ROS include the hydroxyl radical, the superoxide radical, the singlet oxygen and hydrogen peroxide. Among these, the OH is the most reactive and dangerous species and immediately attacking virtually any molecule in its neighborhood. In contrary, H₂O₂ is much more stable and it is able to cross membranes than OH radical (Van *et al.*, 2011).

ROS attacks various biomolecules (e.g. DNA, RNA, proteins, lipids, cofactors in enzymes) damaging them and disturbing normal cellular metabolism. Stress generally increases ROS production. This can potentially be detrimental to the cell leading to cell death. However, the generated ROS (e.g. H₂O₂) can

also be used as signaling molecules for initiating ROS defence mechanisms to sustain ROS homeostasis (Van *et al.*, 2011).

Many diseases (such as arteriosclerosis, cancer, type 2 diabetes and neurodegenerative diseases) are believed to be initiated by ROS. Conversely, Recent data strongly suggest that sugars and sugar metabolising enzymes can regulate ROS formation in mitochondria, contributing to ROS homeostasis (Xiang *et al.*, 2011).

Mechanism of action of ROS.

Oxidative stress-related factors cause impairment of the liver function. ROSs have toxic effects on hepatocytes by damaging DNA, lipids, and proteins, leading to a disruption in cellular homeostasis and aggravating metabolic syndrome features (Kohen and Nyska 2002; Raval *et al.*, 2006). Hyperlipidemia is associated with high level of MDA and subsequent lipid peroxidation.

Lipoperoxidation is a biological reaction initiated by reactive oxygen species (ROS) from fatty acids (FA) (Niki *et al.*, 2005). Lipoperoxidation severely alters structure and function of the cells and may produce toxic metabolites (Esterbauer, 1993). The ROS are rapidly neutralised by antioxidants. Therefore, the susceptibility of lipids to peroxidation in tissue depends on the proportion of poly unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) in lipid bilayers, the amount of ROS produced and the level of antioxidants which can be of endogenous or nutritional origin. Each of these factors may be modulated leading to an enhancement or a reduction of lipoperoxidation. Indeed, ROS are overproduced under oxidative stress conditions which are frequent in domestic animals (Aurousseau, 2002) and the PUFA content of lipids in tissues can be increased by feeding PUFA rich diets which is a strategy more and more applied to improve the health value of animal product (Wood *et al.*, 2004, Scollan *et al.*, 2005). These increase the susceptibility to lipoperoxidation in tissue. lipoperoxidation can be prevented by increasing the intake of nutritional antioxidants. The liver is susceptible to reactive oxygen species (ROSs) damage (Hamelet *et al.*, 2007).

Natural antioxidants (NANTs).

ROS homeostasis in cells is reached at the balance between ROS production and ROS scavenging by antioxidants. The latter act as electron donors, reducing ROS to less harmful molecules. The oxidized products formed in this

process are not very reactive or harmful. Higher eukaryotic organisms use an array of enzymatic and nonenzymatic mechanisms to detoxify ROS (Apel and Hirt, 2004). Non-enzymatic mechanisms involve ascorbate (Vit C), glutathione, tocopherol (Vit E), polyphenols, alkaloids and carotenoids. The enzymes involved include superoxide dismutase (SOD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPX) and peroxiredoxin (PrxR) (Foyer and Noctor, 2009).

Probiotics

Definition.

Probiotics, derived from the Greek and meaning “for life”, are defined as live organisms that, when ingested in adequate amounts, exert a health benefit to the host. There are several commercially available supplements containing viable micro-organisms with probiotic properties. The most commonly used probiotics are Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria (Eamonn, 2010). They inhibit pathogen adhesion (Laparra and Sanz, 2010), reduce the risk of colon carcinogenesis (Pool and Sauer, 2007), enhance mineral absorption (Scholz-Ahrens *et al.*, 2007), modulate lipid metabolism (Brighenti, 2007) and modulate the secretion of gastrointestinal peptides involved in the appetite regulation (Delzenne *et al.*, 2002).

Probiotics and lipid profile.

The probiotic have cholesterol decreasing effect on broilers. At 42 days of age, chicken groups fed with various levels of probiotic showed a significant decrease in cholesterol concentrations when compared to the control group (Alkhalaf *et al.*, 2010).

Mohan *et al.*, (1995) reported that probiotic supplementation resulted in lowering of the serum cholesterol level in White Leghorn layers from 176.5 to 114.3 mg/dl serum. Also, Mohan *et al.*, (1996) mentioned that chickens that received 75, 100 and 125 mg probiotic/kg diets had lower serum cholesterol content (93.3 mg/100 ml) compared to the control birds (132.2 mg/100 ml). Arun *et al.*, (2006) found that serum total cholesterol and triglycerides were reduced significantly by dietary supplementation of probiotic (100 mg per kg diet).

The significant reduction in serum cholesterol of broiler chickens fed probiotic supplemented diet could be attributed to reduced absorption and/or synthesis of cholesterol in the gastro-intestinal tract (Mohan *et al.*, 1995, 1996). The mechanism by which a probiotic can lower the serum cholesterol has been declared by

Fukushima and Nakano, 1995. He demonstrated that probiotic microorganisms inhibit hydroxymethyl-glutaryl-coenzyme A.

Lactobacillus acidophilus reduces the cholesterol in the blood by deconjugating bile salts in the intestine, thereby preventing them from acting as precursors in cholesterol synthesis (Abdulrahim *et al.*, 1996). *Lactobacillus* has found to have a high bile salt hydrolytic activity which is responsible for deconjugation of bile salts (Surono, 2003). Deconjugated bile acids are less soluble at low pH and less absorbed in the intestine and is more likely to excrete in faeces (Klaver and van der Meer, 1993). The using of acidophilic probiotics lowers the pH of the environment it occupies.

Prebiotics

Definition.

Prebiotics is defined as “selectively fermented ingredients that allow specific changes, both in the composition and/or activity in the gastrointestinal microbiota that confers benefits upon host well-being and health” (Gibson *et al.*, 2004).

Prebiotics as functional food ingredients.

The efficacy of prebiotics is depend on their ability to withstand digestive processes before they reach the colon and preferably persist throughout the large intestine such that benefits are apparent distally (Gibson *et al.*, 2004).

For prebiotics to serve as functional food ingredients, they must be chemically stable to food processing treatments such as heat, low pH, and Maillard reaction conditions. That is a prebiotic would no longer provide selective stimulation of beneficial microorganisms if the prebiotic was degraded to its component mono- and disaccharides or chemically altered so that it was unavailable for bacterial metabolism (Yanbo, 2009).

However, the effect of a prebiotic is, essentially, indirect because it selectively feeds one or a limited number of microorganisms thus causing a selective modification of the host’s intestinal (especially colonic) microflora. It is not the prebiotic by itself but rather the changes induced in microflora composition that is responsible for its effects (Yanbo, 2009).

Prebiotics can be used for either their nutritional advantages or technological properties, but they are often applied to offer a double benefit, an improved organoleptic quality and a better-balanced nutritional composition (Franck, 2002).

The use of inulin and non digestible oligosaccharides as fiber ingredients is straightforward and often leads to improved taste and texture. These specific forms of dietary fiber are readily fermentable by specific colonic bacteria, such as bifidobacteria and lactobacilli species, increasing their cell population with the concomitant production of short-chain fatty acids. These acids especially butyrate, acetate, and propionate provide metabolic energy for the host and acidification of the bowel (Sghir *et al.*, 1998).

Prebiotic as antioxidants.

Natural antioxidants derived from plants become increasingly popular as functional food and feed ingredients. the natural non-structural carbohydrates, with focus on those plant-derived compounds have dual antioxidant and prebiotic properties. they have direct and in direct ROS scavenging processes in plants, food and in the gastrointestinal tract, counteracting oxidative stress and preventing pathogen outbreaks and the development of ROS-related diseases (Van *et al.*, 2011).

NDCs as ROS scavengers.

It has become increasingly clear that a group of non digestible carbohydrates with “fibre-like properties”(NDCs: fructans, Raffinose Family Oligosaccharides or RFOs, arabinoxylans, b glucans) and their breakdown products (e.g. fructosyl oligosaccharides or FOS) as well as sugar-sterols and sugar-phenols might act as important ROS scavengers (Nishizawa *et al.*, 2008),

The antioxidant capacity of inulin type fructans can further be increased by grafting extra groups (e.g. phenols) on their structure (Ren *et al.*, 2011). Although the ROS scavenging capacity of natural sugar preparations might in some cases be overestimated by contamination with other strong antioxidants (e.g. polyphenols), synthetically produced, pure carbohydrates were also shown to exert considerable antioxidant activity in vitro and they also counteracted lipid peroxidation processes in vivo (Li *et al.*, 2007).

NDCs acting as prebiotics and antioxidants.

Prebiotics are a special class of carbohydrates and soluble fibers that are not digested in the upper gastrointestinal tract. In the colon, they are fermented and they selectively promote the growth of beneficial bacteria supporting overall good health. The criteria for being classified as prebiotics are strictly defined (Gibson *et al.*, 2004).

Roberfroid (2007) defined “A prebiotic is a selectively fermented ingredient that allows specific changes, both in the composition and/or activity in the gastrointestinal microflora that confers benefits upon host well-being and health”. Prebiotics are rapidly rising in popularity in functional food markets.

Functional foods include for instance probiotics (living bacteria), prebiotics (compounds selectively stimulating “beneficial” intestinal bacteria), and antioxidants (compounds counteracting oxidative stress caused by an imbalance of Reactive Oxygen Species: ROS) (Van *et al.*, 2011).

About 15% of plants accumulate fructans, including inulins, levans, graminans and neokestose-derived inulins and levans (Van *et al.*, 2004). They occur in vegetables such as chicory, onion, asparagus and garlic but also in cereals (Gara *et al.*, 2003).

Inulin and fructo-oligosaccharides also termed oligofructose are the most widely used prebiotics (Roberfroid *et al.*, 2010) and are commercially produced from chicory roots (*Cichorium intybus* L) or Jerusalem artichoke tubers (*Helianthus tuberosus*) (Van *et al.*, 2004).

NANTs as ROS scavengers in the stomach.

Kanner and Lapidot (2001) suggested that gastric fluid may be an excellent medium for enhancing the oxidation of lipids and other dietary constituents. The acid environment of the stomach (pH 1.5-2) can lead to a partial hydrolysis of inulin and oligofructose. Thus, dietary antioxidants could be involved in preventing lipid peroxidation in the stomach (Corder *et al.*, 2006).

Inulin and FOS are well-known prebiotics. They have a selective stimulation on beneficial bacteria in the colon. These bacteria secrete extracellular β -fructosidases. The unique 3-D structure of the β -fructosidase of *Bifidobacterium longum*, the main inhabitants of the large intestine, has recently been unravelled (Bujacz *et al.*, 2011).

Also *Lactobacillus* species are widely considered to exert a number of beneficial effects on health. The probiotic role of several lactobacilli has been attributed to the synthesis of prebiotic fructans by fructosyltransferases (ftfs) of family GH68 (Cerning, 1990).

Synbiotics and immune modulatory effects.

Synbiotics, is defined as a combination of a probiotic and a prebiotic, aim to increase the survival and activity of proven probiotics in

vivo, as well as stimulating indigenous bifidobacteria and lactobacilli (Eamonn, 2010).

Prebiotics play an important role on the prevention and treatment of gastrointestinal diseases. Prebiotics consumption could result in some advantages to the host, due to their selective metabolism in the intestinal tract (Gibson *et al.*, 2004).

The metabolites produced by healthy microbiota interact with the immune system in the prevention or reduction of intestinal inflammatory diseases (Damaskos & Kolios, 2008). The establishment of normal microbiota is fundamental for the development of the immune system. In germ-free animals, for example, there is a dramatic reduction in the number and function of lymphocytes in both the gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) and spleen and peripheral lymph nodes (Grethel *et al.*, 2011).

Short chain fatty acids (SCFA) generating from bacterial fermentation involved in suppression of pathogenic intestinal bacteria, immune system modulation, energy supply for colonic epithelium and modulation of cholesterol and lipid metabolism as well as gut microbiota composition (Topping and Clifton, 2001).

Several studies have highlighted the importance of intra luminal bacteria in maintaining the integrity of intestinal mucosa by modulating the inflammatory process in this microenvironment. certain compositions of intestinal bacteria with severe acute pancreatitis, including depletion of beneficial intestinal bacteria such as lactobacillus and colon proliferation of pathogens (Hegazi, 2010). Supplementation of prebiotics mix (insoluble and soluble fibers) improved clinical outcome and dampened systemic inflammatory disease in patients with severe acute pancreatitis (Karakan *et al.*, 2007).

The administration of prebiotics has been associated with immune modulatory effects encompassing innate, adaptive immunity as a result of the interaction with the microbiota (Vulevic *et al.*, 2008). inulin consumption increases the phagocytic capacity of macrophages and the production of secretory immunoglobulin A (IgA-s), which plays an important role in the defense of the gastrointestinal tract (Van, 2004).

Lipotropic factors and their effects.

The lipotropic factors prevent the liver from excess fat deposits by hastening fat removal,

limiting fat uptake, increasing fatty oxidation and reducing fatty acid and triglyceride synthesis. They involve methyl donation for methionine synthesis to favour hepatic phospholipid synthesis, these latter being constitutive of VLDL/LDL that export excess triglycerides outside the liver. They reduce the lipogenic enzyme activities and activate the fatty acid oxidation enzymes. In addition, the gene expression of PPAR α (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor) and SREBP (sterol regulatory element binding proteins), which both play a role in lipid metabolism regulation, may be, respectively, up- and down-regulated (Fardet and Chardigny, 2011).

L-carnitine.

L-carnitine (3-Hydroxy-4-N-trimethylamino butyric acid) acts as a carrier for translocation of long-chain fatty acids from the cytosol into mitochondria for beta-oxidation and hence it sustains the energy supply (Foster, 2004).

Indeed, L-carnitine and some of its acyl esters act as very potent reactive oxygen species scavengers (Liu *et al.*, 2004) and are known to have immunomodulatory properties in mammalian as well as in avian species. It has a known antioxidant and protective effects against lipid peroxidation (Yapar *et al.*, 2007).

Dietary carnitine significantly increases liver α -tocopherol which is inversely correlated to plasma triglyceride (TG). It is suggested that decrease L-carnitine level is associated with decrease plasma TG utilization and so increase TG accumulation and storage in tissues (Richard *et al.*, 2007).

The balance between lipid synthesis and breakdown pathways within a cell is in part controlled by the transfer of fatty acids across mitochondrial, peroxisomal and endoplasmic reticulum membranes. Fatty acids cross these membranes as acyl-carnitine derivatives to enter pathways for oxidation, acylation, chain shortening or chain elongation-desaturation (Ramsay, 2000)

Conclusion

Finally, we can conclude that the repeated fasting and refeeding is associated with changes in lipid metabolism such as hyperlipidemia which may lead to oxidative stress and increase lipid deposition in liver. These metabolic disorders are negatively affecting on broiler performance and growth. The using of probiotics, prebiotics and L-carnitine can ameliorate the previous disorders through their hypolipidemic effect and antioxidant activities

References

- Alkhalaf, A.; Alhaj, M.; Al-homidan, I. (2010):** Influence of probiotic supplementation on blood parameters and growth performance in broiler chickens. Saudi J of Biol Sci, 17: 219-225.
- Abdulrahim, S. M.; Haddadin, M. S. Y.; Hashlamoun, E. A. R.; Robinson, R. K. (1996):** The influence of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and bacitracin on layer performance of chickens and cholesterol content of plasma and egg yolk. Brit Poul Sci, 341-346.
- Apel, K. and Hirt, H. (2004):** Reactive oxygen species: Metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. Ann Rev of Plant Biol, 55: 373-399.
- Araujo, F. B.; Barbosa, D. S.; Hsin, C. Y.; Maranhão, R. C. and Abdalla, D. S. (1995):** Evaluation of oxidative stress in patients with hyperlipidemia. Atherosclerosis 117:6 1- 71
- Arun, K.; Panda, R. R.; Savaram, V.; Mantena, V. L. N.; Raju, S. and Sharma, R. (2006):** Dietary supplementation of *Lactobacillus Sporogenes* on performance and serum biochemico-lipid profile of broiler chickens. J of Poul Sci, 43: 235-240.
- Aurousseau, B. (2002):** Les radicaux libres dans l'organisme des animaux d'élevage: conséquences sur la reproduction, la physiologie et la qualité de leurs produits (in French, with English abstract). INRA Prod. Anim, 15: 67-82.
- Brady, L. J.; Gallaher D. D. and Busta, F. F. (2000):** The role of probiotic cultures in the prevention of colon cancer. J Nutr, 130: 410S-414S.
- Bremen, J. (1983):** Carnitine – metabolism and functions. Physiological Reviews, 63: 1420-480.
- Brighenti, F. (2007):** Inulin and oligofructose: dietary fructans and serum triacylglycerols: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Nutr. 137:255,2S-6S.
- Bujacz, A.; Jedrzejczak-Krzepkowska, M.; Bielecki, S.; Redzynia, I. and Bujacz, G. (2011):** Crystal structures of the apo form of bfructofuranosidase from *Bifidobacterium longum* and its complex with fructose. Febs Journal, 278: 1728-1744.
- Capeau, J. (2008):** Insulin resistance and steatosis in humans. Diabetes Metab, 34: 649-657
- Cerning, J. (1990):** Exocellular polysaccharides produced by lactic acid bacteria. Fems Microbiology Reviews, 87, 113-130.
- Chi, S.; Changchien; Wang, J. H.; Tung-Lung Tsai and Chao-Hung Hung (2003):** Correlation Between Fatty Liver and Lipidemia in Taiwanese. J Med Ultrasound;11:60-5.
- Compare, D.; Coccoli, P.; Rocco, A.; Nardone, O. M.; De Maria, S.; Carten, M. and Nardone, G. (2012):** Gut-liver axis: The impact of gut microbiota on non alcoholic fatty liver disease. Nut, Met and Cardiovas Dis, 22: 471-476.
- Corder, R.; Mullen, W.; Khan, N. Q.; Marks, S. C.; Wood, E. G. and Carrier, M. J. (2006):** Red wine procyanidins and vascular health Nature, 30: 444-566.
- Damaskos, D. and Kolios, G. (2008):** Probiotics and prebiotics in inflammatory bowel disease: Microflora on the scope. British J of Clin Pharmacol, 65(4): 453-467.
- Delzenne, N. M.; Daubioul, C.; Neyrinck, A.; Lasa, M. and Taper, H. S. (2002):** Inulin and oligofructose modulate lipid metabolism in animals: review of biochemical events and future prospects. Brit J Nutr., 87: 255-259.
- Dowell, M. L. R. (1989):** Vitamin-like substances. In: McDowell, L.R. (Ed.), Vitamins in Animal Nutrition Comparative Aspects to Human Nutrition. Academic Press Inc., New York, pp. 388– 399.

- Eamonn, M. M. (2010):** Prebiotics and probiotics; modifying and mining the microbiota. *Pharmacol Res*, 61: 213-218.
- Esterbauer, H. (1993):** Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of lipid-oxidation products. *Am. J Clin Nutr*, 57 (Suppl. 5): 779-785.
- Fardet, A. and Chardigny, J. M. (2011):** Plant-based foods as a source of lipotropes for human nutrition: a survey of in vivo studies. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 53(6):535-90
- Foster, D. W. (2004):** The role of the carnitine system in human metabolism. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1033, 1–16.
- Foyer, C. H. and Noctor, G. (2009):** Redox regulation in photosynthetic organisms: signaling, acclimation, and practical implications. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, 11, 861-905.
- Franck, A. (2002):** Technological functionality of inulin and oligofructose. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 87, 287–291.
- Fukushima, M. and Nakano, M. (1995):** The effect of probiotic on faecal and liver lipid classes in rats. *British Journal of Nutrition* 73, 701–710.
- Gara, De. L.; Locato, V.; Dipierro, S.; and Pinto, De M. C. (2010):** Redox homeostasis in plants. The challenge of living with endogenous oxygen production. *Resp Physiol Neurobiol*, 173: S13-S19.
- Gara, De. L.; Pinto, De. M. C.; Moliterni, V. M. C.; and D'Egidio, M. G. (2003):** Redox regulation and storage processes during maturation in kernels of *Triticum durum*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 54:249-258
- Gibson, G. R. (1998):** Dietary modulation of the human gut microflora using prebiotics. *Br. J. Nutr.* 80: 209–212.
- Gibson, G. R.; Probert, H. M.; Loo, J. V.; Rastall, R. A. and Roberfroid, M. B. (2004):** Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: Updating the concept of prebiotics. *Nutrition Research Review*, 17: 259–275.
- Gorinstein, S.; Leontowicz, H.; Leontowicz, M.; Drzewiecki, J.; Najman, K. and Katrich, E. (2006):** Raw and boiled garlic enhances plasma antioxidant activity and improves plasma lipid metabolism in cholesterol-fed rats. *Life Sci*;78:655-63.
- Grethel T.; Choque Delgado ; Wirla Maria da Silva Cunha Tamashiro; Mário Roberto Maróstica Junior; Yara Maria F.; Moreno; Glaucia M. Pastore (2011).** The putative effects of prebiotics as immune modulatory agents. *Food Research International* 44: 3167–3173.
- Griffin, H. D; Guo K, Windsor, D.; Butterwith, S.C. (1992):** Adipose tissue lipogenesis and fat deposition in leaner broiler chickens. *J Nutr* 122:363–8.
- Gross, C. J. and Henderson, L. M. (1984):** Absorption of d- and l-carnitine by the intestine and kidney tubule in the rat. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 772: 209–219.
- Hamelet, J.; Demuth, K.; Paul, J. L.; Delabar, J. M.; Janel, N. (2007):** Hyperhomocysteinemia due to cystathionine beta synthase deficiency induces dysregulation of genes involved in hepatic lipid homeostasis in mice. *J Hepatol*,46:151–9.
- Hegazi, R. A. (2010):** Prebiotics in immuno-modulation for treatment of acute pancreatitis. In R. R. Watson, S. Zibadi, & V. R. Preedy (Eds.), *Components and immune function Humana Press*. (pp. 611–624).
- Hirsch, D.; Stahl, A.; and Lodish, H. F. (1998):** A family of fatty acid transporters conserved from mycobacterium to man. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95: 8625–8629.
- Huyghebaert, G.; Ducatelle, R. and Van Immerseel, F. (2011):** An update on alternatives to antimicrobial growth promoters for broilers. *The Veterinary Journal* 187, 182–188.
- Chen, J.; Huang, J.; Deng, J.; Ma, H. and Zou, S. (2012):** Use of comparative proteomics to identify the effects of creatine pyruvate on lipid and protein metabolism in broiler chickens. *The Veterinary Journal*. 193: 514–521
- Kanner, J. and Lapidot, T. (2001):** The stomach as a bioreactor: dietary lipid peroxidation in the gastric fluid and the effects of plant-derived antioxidants. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*. 31:1388-1395.
- Karakan, T.; Ergun, M.; Dogan, I.; Cindoruk, M. and Unal, S. (2007):** Comparison of early enteral nutrition in severe acute pancreatitis with prebiotic fiber supplementation versus standard enteral solution: A prospective randomized double-blind study. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 13(19): 2733–2737.
- Klaver, F. A. and van der Meer, R. (1993):** The assumed assimilation of cholesterol by *Lactobacilli* and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* is due to their bile salt deconjugating activity. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 59: 1120–1124.
- Kohen, R. and Nyska, A. (2002):** Oxidation of biological systems: oxidative stress phenomena, antioxidants, redox reactions, and methods for their quantification. *Toxicol Pathol.*30:620–50.
- Koruk, M.; Savas, M. C.; Yilmaz, O.; Tays, I. S.; Karakok, M.; Gundo gdu, C. and Yilmaz, A. (2003):** Serum lipids, lipoproteins and apolipoproteins levels in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology*. 37: 177–182.
- Laparra, J. M. and Sanz, Y. (2010):** Interactions of gut microbiota with functional food components and nutraceuticals. *Pharm Res.*61:219-25.
- Leclercq, B.; Hermier, D. and Guy, G. (1990):** Metabolism of very low density lipoproteins in genetically lean or fat lines of chicken. *Reprod Nutr Dev.* 30:701–15.
- Leveille G. A. (1969):** In vitro hepatic lipogenesis in the hen and chick. *Comp Biochem Physiol*. 28:431–5.
- Li, X. M.; Shi, Y. H.; Wang, F.; Wang, H. S.; and Le, G. W. (2007):** In vitro free radical scavenging activities and effect of synthetic oligosaccharides on antioxidant enzymes and lipid peroxidation in aged mice. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*. 43: 364-370.
- Liu, J.; Head, E.; Kuratsune, H.; Cotman, C. W.; Ames, B. N. (2004):** Comparison of the effects of L-carnitine and acetyl-L-carnitine on carnitine levels, ambulatory activity, and oxidative stress biomarkers in the brain of old rats. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1033: 117–131.
- Lohninger, A.; Kaiser, E.; Legenstein, E. and Staniek, H. (1987):** Carnitine, metabolism and function. In: Kaiser, E., Lohninger, A. (Eds.), *Carnitine—Its Role in Lung and Heart Disorders*. Karger Press, Basel, pp. 1–25.
- Mardones, C.; Rios, A.; Valcarcel, M.; and Ciccirelli, R. (1999).** Enantiomeric separation of D- and L-carnitine by integrating on-line derivatization with capillary zone electrophoresis. *Journal of Chromatography*. 849: 609–616.
- Mary, N.; Achuthan, C.; Babu, B.; Padikkala, J. (2003).** In vitro antioxidant and antithrombotic activity of *Hemidesmus indicus* (L) R. *Br J Ethnopharmacol.*87:187–91.
- Matsuzawa, N.; Takamura, T.; Kurita, S.; Misu, H.; Ota, T.; Ando, H.; Yokoyama, M.; Honda, M.; Zen, Y.; Nakanuma, Y.; Miyamoto, K. and Kaneko, S. (2007):** Lipid-induced oxidative stress causes steatohepatitis in mice fed an atherogenic diet. *Hepatology*. 46:1392–1403.
- Mohan, B.; Kadirvel, R.; Bhaskaran, M.; Natarajan, M. (1995):** Effect of probiotic supplementation on serum/yolk

- cholesterol and on egg shell thickness in layers. *British Poultry Science*. 36:799–803.
- Mohan, B.; Kadirvel, R.; Natarajan, M. and Bhaskaran, M. (1996):** Effect of probiotic supplementation on growth, nitrogen utilization and serum cholesterol in broilers. *British Poultry Science*. 37:395–401.
- Munzel, T.; Gori, T.; Bruno, R. M. and Taddei, S. (2010):** Is oxidative stress a therapeutic target in cardiovascular disease? *Eur. Heart J*. 31: 2741–2748
- Niki, E.; Yoshida, Y.; Saito, Y. and Noguchi, N. (2005):** Lipid peroxidation: mechanisms, inhibition, and biological effects. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 338: 668–676.
- Nishizawa, A.; Yabuta, Y.; and Shigeoka, S. (2008):** Galactinol and raffinose constitute a novel function to protect plants from oxidative damage. *Plant Physiology*, 147; 1251-1263.
- Wang, P. H.; Ko, Y. H.; Chin, H. J.; Hsu, C.; Ding, S. T. and Chen, C.Y. (2009):** The effect of feed restriction on expression of hepatic lipogenic genes in broiler chickens and the function of SREBP1. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part B* 153:327–331.
- Pool, Z. B. L. and Sauer J. (2007):** Overview of experimental data on reduction of colorectal cancer risk by inulin-type fructans 1-4. *J Nutr.*137:2580-4.
- Prasad, K. and Kalra, J. (1993):** Oxygen free radicals and hypercholesterolaemic atherosclerosis: effect of vitamin E. *American Heart Journal*. 125: 958–973.
- Qi, M. L.; Wang, P.; Sun, Y. J and Yang, J. J. (2004):** Determination of the enantiomeric purity of L-carnitine and acetyl-L-carnitine by chiral liquid chromatography. *Chromatographia*. 59: 247–250.
- Ramsay, R. R. (2000):** The carnitine acyl transferases: modulators of acyl-CoA dependent reactions. *Biochem Soc* .28:182–6.
- Raval, J.; Lyman, S.; Nitta, T.; Mohuczy, D.; Lemasters, J. J. and Kim, J. S. (2006):** Basal reactive oxygen species determine the susceptibility to apoptosis in cirrhotic hepatocytes. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 41:1645–54.
- Ren, J. M.; Liu, J. L.; Dong, F. and Guo, Z. Y. (2011):** Synthesis and hydroxyl radicals scavenging activity of N-(aminoethyl)inulin. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 85: 268-271.
- Richard, M. C.; Anju, B.; David, W.; Dimple, A.; Kevin, Owenb, Q. and Sung, I. K.(2007):** L-Carnitine increases liver a-tocopherol and lowers liver and plasma triglycerides in aging ovariectomized rats. *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*. 18: 623–628.
- Rizvi, F.; Iftikhar, M; George, J. P. (2003):** Beneficial effects of fish liver preparations of sea bass (*Lates calcarifer*) versus gemfibrozil in high fat diet induced lipid-intolerant rats. *J Med Food*.6(2):123–8.
- Roberfroid, M. (2007):** Prebiotics: The concept revisited. *Journal of Nutrition*. 137: 830-837.
- Roberfroid, M.; Gibson, G. R.; Hoyles, L.; McCartney, A. L.; Rastall, R. and Rowland, I. (2010):** Prebiotic effects: metabolic and health benefits. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 104: S1-S63.
- Yang, R. L.; Li, W.; Shi, Y. H. and Le, G. W. (2008):** Lipoic acid prevents high-fat diet-induced dyslipidemia and oxidative stress: A microarray analysis. *Nutrition*. 24: 582–588.
- Sanz, M.; Lopez-Bote, C. J.; Menoyo, D. and Bautista, J. M. (2000):** Abdominal fat deposition and fatty acid synthesis are lower and b-oxidation is higher in broiler chickens fed diets containing unsaturated rather than saturated fat. *The Journal of Nutrition*. 130: 3034–3037.
- Scholz, A. KE.; Ade, P.; Marten, B.; Weber, P.; Timm, W.; A2il, Y. (2007):** Prebiotics, probiotics, and synbiotics affect mineral absorption, bone mineral content and bone structure. *J Nutr.*137:838-46.
- Scollan, N. D.; Richardson, I.; Smet, D. S.; Moloney, A. P.; Doreau, M.; Bauchart, D. and Nuernberg, K. (2005):** Enhancing the content of beneficial fatty acids in beef and consequences for meat quality. In: Hocquette, J.F., Gigli, G. (Eds.), *Indicators of Milk and Beef Quality*, first ed. EAAP publication no. 112, Wageningen Academic, Netherland, pp. 137–148.
- Sghir, A.; Chow, J. M. and Mackie, R. I. (1998):** Continuous culture selection of bifidobacteria and lactobacilli from human faecal samples using fructo oligosaccharide as selective substrate. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 85: 769–777.
- Slim, R. M.; Toborek, M.; Watkins, B. A.; Boissonneault, G. A. and Hennig, B. (1996):** Susceptibility to hepatic oxidative stress in rabbits fed different animal and plant fats. *J Am Coll Nutr*. 15: 289 – 94.
- Surono, I. S. (2003):** In vitro probiotic properties of indigenous Dadih lactic acid bacteria. *Asian–Australian Journal of Animal Sciences*. 16: 726–731.
- Topping, D. L. and Clifton, P. M. (2001):** Short chain fatty acids and human colonic function: Roles of resistant starch and nonstarch polysaccharides. *Physiological Reviews*. 81: 1031–1064.
- Van, D. E. W.; Coninck, D. B. and Van, L. A. (2004):** Plant fructan exohydrolases: a role in signaling and defense? *Trends in Plant Science*. 9: 523-528.
- Van, D. V. G. J.; Glatz, J. F. C.; Stam, H. C. G. and Reneman, R. S. (1992):** Fatty acid homeostasis in the normoxic and ischemic heart. *Physiol. Rev*. 72: 881–940.
- Van, L. J. A. E. (2004):** Prebiotics promote good health: The basis, the potential, and the emerging evidence. *Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology*. 38: 70–75.
- Vulevic, J.; Drakoularakou, A.; Yaqoob, P.; Tzortzis, G.; and Gibson, G. R. (2008):** Modulation of the fecal microflora profile and immune function by a novel trans-galactooligosaccharide mixture (B-GOS) in healthy elderly volunteers. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 88: 1438–1446.
- Van, D. E. W.; Pesheva, D. and Garab, D. L. (2011):** Disease prevention by natural antioxidants and prebiotics acting as ROS scavengers in the gastrointestinal tract. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. 22:689-697.
- Wei, W. X.; Jin, Z. W.; Min, J.; Jian, D.; Hong, Z. and Lu, P. Q. (2009):** Effects of polydatin from *Polygonum cuspidatum* on lipid profile in hyperlipidemic rabbits. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*. 63:457-462.
- Wood, J. D.; Richardson, R. I.; Nute, G. R.; Fisher, A.V.; Campo, M. M.; Kasapidou, E.; Sheard, P. R. and Enser, M. (2004):** Effects of fatty acids on meat quality: a review. *Meat Sci*. 66: 21–32.
- Xiang, L.; Roy, L. K.; Bolouri, M.; M-R.; Vanhaecke, M.; Lammens, W. and Rolland, F. (2011):** Exploring the neutral invertase-oxidative stress defence connection in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 62: 3849-3862.
- Xiaofei, L.; Yanga, F.; Songa, T.; Zenga, A.; Wang, Q.; Sunc, Z. and Shen, J. (2011):** Effects of chitosan, O-carboxymethyl chitosan and N-[(2-hydroxy-3-N,N-dimethylhexadecyl ammonium)propyl]chitosan chloride on lipid metabolism enzymes and low-density-lipoprotein receptor in a murine diet-induced obesity. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 85: 334–340.
- Xiaojing, Y.; Zhuang, J.; Rao, K.; Li, X. and Zhao, R. (2010):** Effect of early feed restriction on hepatic lipid

metabolism and expression of lipogenic genes in broiler chickens. *Research in Veterinary Science*. 89:438–444

Yanbo, W. (2009): Prebiotics: Present and future in food science and technology. *Food Research International*. 42: 8–12 .

Yapar, K.; Kart, A.; Karapehlivan, M.; Atakisi, O.; Tunca, R.; Erginsoy, S. and Cital, M. (2007): Hepatoprotective effect of L-carnitine against acute acetaminophen toxicity in mice. *Exp Toxicol Pathol*. 59(2):121-8.

Yu, L; Williams, B. A.; Tamminga, S.; Boer, H.; Akkermans, A.; Erdi, G. and Verstegen, M. W.A. (2005): In vitro fermentation kinetics of some non-digestible carbohydrates by the caecal microbial community of broilers. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 123–124:687–702.

Zerehdaran, S.; Vereijken, A. L.; Arendonk, V. J. A. and Waaijt, V. D. E. H. (2004): Estimation of genetic parameters for fat deposition and carcass traits in broilers. *Poultry Sci*. 83: 521–525.