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A qualitative immunoassay as complementary test with tuberculin skin test for detection of tuberculosis in dairy cattle
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Bovine tuberculosis; caused by \textit{Mycobacterium bovis}, is a zoonotic disease causing approximately 6\% of total human deaths. Its economic losses are not only a reduction of 10-20\% in milk production and weight, but also infertility and condemnation of meat. Many serological tests are applied for detection of tuberculosis. ELISA test has the highest sensitivity and specificity than the other serological tests for the diagnosis of tuberculosis. Several forms of new technology were brought into the diagnostic approach to mycobacterial infection. The aim of this work was to detect bovine tuberculosis by application of different serological tests. Tuberculin skin test was applied on 2650 cattle, only 63(2.4\%) were positive. Forty eight (76.2\%) of the slaughtered positive animals showed visible lesions (VL) while the other 15 (23.8\%) had non-visible lesions (NVL). The bacteriological examination of the 63 samples revealed isolation of \textit{M. bovis} from 47 processed samples (74.6\%). The results of the immunoassay test have detected 27 out of the tuberculin positive cattle, while the ELISA has detected 34 out of the positive reactor cattle. It was concluded that immunoassay and ELISA tests act as complementary tests for tuberculin skin test especially in anergic cattle.
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1. Introduction:

Bovine tuberculosi (bTB) caused by M. bovis; a member of the M. tuberculosis complex, is a zoonotic disease having considerable economic and public health implications (Neill et al., 1994 and O’Reilly et al., 1995). It is a worldwide disease that causes a great harm on dairy farms and poses health risks to the population that consumes products of animal origin. It infected 50 million cattle worldwide resulting in economic losses of approximately $3 billion (Hewinson, 2000).

The disease has been difficult to control in livestock because of the lack of an effective vaccine and the lack of a diagnostic assay with sufficient sensitivity and specificity to detect animals at all stages of infection. Currently the primary methods used for the detection of TB in cattle include the measurement of a delayed-type hypersensitivity (skin test) to purified protein derivative (PPD) (Monaghan et al., 1994).

Use of ELISA with the tuberculin skin test (Plackett et al., 1989) to overcome the problems of tuberculin development of an accurate serodiagnostic test requires a detailed understanding of the humoral immune response during bovine tuberculosis and, in particular, identification of the key antigens of M. bovis involved in antibody production (Lyashchenko et al., 1998).

Serological survey was carried out to determine the presence of antibodies against components of the culture filtrate protein extract by ELISA (Diaz-Otero et al., 2003), short term culture filtrate (ST-CF) was separated into molecular mass fractions and screened for recognition of ELISA (Pollock and Andersen, 1997).

Several forms of new technology were brought into the diagnostic approach to mycobacterial infection. Advances in humoral responses tests led to development of lateral flow tests which qualitatively detect M. bovis antibody in serum or plasma (Greenwood et al., 2003). The aim of the study was to compare sensitivity of lateral flow test and ELISA for diagnosis of bovine tuberculosis.

2. Material and methods:

a. Animals.

A total of 2650 cross-breed dairy cows from different governorates in Egypt were used in this study. All animals were tested with comparative tuberculin test (CTT) using bovine PPD (PPD-B).

b. Comparative Tuberculin Skin Test. (OIE, 2009)

Two sites on the right side of the mid-neck, 12 cm apart were shaved and the skin thicknesses were measured with calipers. One site was injected with 0.1ml Bovine PPD tuberculin; similarly 0.1ml avian PPD tuberculin was injected into the second site. After 72 hrs, the skin thickness at the injection sites was measured.

c. Serum Samples.

From the positive reactors, about 10 ml of blood were obtained aseptically from the jugular vein. The blood samples were left at room temperature for 2hrs in a slope position, then kept at 4°C overnight, centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min, serum was aspirated, labeled then kept at -20°C till use in serological test.

d. Post mortem examination.

Careful inspection and examination was made simultaneously for the carcass, head and viscera of each slaughtered tuberculin positive reactor animals. The lung, liver, lymph nodes, spleen and heart received particular attention. Depending on the distribution of the lesions, the examined animals were categorized as: Animals with pulmonary TB lesions had lesions in the lung and related lymph nodes, animal with extra pulmonary lesions (had lesions in any parts other than thoracic cavity), animal with mixed TB lesions (had lesions in the lung and in any
other organ of the body) and animals with generalized TB lesions.

e. **Bacteriological isolation and identification of the mycobacterial isolates.**

The organs, lymph nodes showing growth lesions prepared and stained with Ziehl Neelsen stain. Samples were cultured on Lowenstein Jensen medium after being decontaminated with H$_2$SO$_4$. The isolates were identified by conventional methods according to Kubica(1973).

f. **Enzyme Linked ImmunoSorbent assay (ELISA).**

According to Collee et al.(1996) using bovine PPD (B-PPD). The optical density was measured at 405 nm using spectra III ELISA reader. Sample was considered positive if yielded a mean OD equal to or greater than the cut off value that is calculated according to El-Seedy et al.(2013) which is equal to the mean OD of negative serum plus two standard deviations.

g. **Immunoassay kits.**

- Remove the foil pouch of test kit and place it on a dry, flat surface.
- Label the test units with sample names.
- Add 4 drops of serum slowly to sample well with the specimen dropper and if migration is not appeared after one minute, add 1 more drop of the specimen to the sample well.
- The result is seen as a band in the result window of the kit.
- The results were interpreted within 20 minutes.

**Interpretation.**

- Negative result: presence of only one coloured band within the result window.
- Positive result: presence of two coloured bands (T and C bands) within the result window (even if the band colour intensity is faint).
- Invalid: if the colour band is not visible after performing the test and the specimen is re-tested.

3. **Results:**

a. **Tuberculin test.**

Tuberculin skin test was applied on 2650 cattle. Only 63 animals (2.4%) were positive reactors.

b. **Post mortem slaughtered tuberculin reactor cattle.**

Out of 63 tuberculin-reactors; 48 (76.2%) showed visible lesions (VL); of which 40(83.3%) were localized (respiratory, digestive or mixed) while 8(16.7%) were generalized. On the other hand, 15 reactors (23.8%) showed NVL (Table 1).
1.1. Bacteriological examination of the tuberculin reactors.

Bacteriological examination of the tuberculin reactors revealed that the total acid fast bacilli recovered from 63 slaughtered tuberculin reactors cattle were 50 (79.4%) isolates which were identified according to the morphological characters, growth rate, pigmentation, growth at different temperatures and biochemical tests into 47 *M. bovis* (74.6%) as well as 3 (4.8%) Mycobacteria other than TB (*MOTT*) (Table 2).

1.2. ELISA.

The results of ELISA revealed that 34 out of 63 positive reactors (54%) were positive for ELISA using PPD-B; 8 with generalized lesions (100%), 22 with localized lesions (54%) and 4 (26.7%) with NVL (Table 3).

1.3. Lateral flow immunoassay.

The results of lateral flow immunoassay revealed that 27 out of 63 positive reactors...
(42.9%) were positive including 7 with generalized lesions (87.5%), 18 with localized lesions (45%) and 2 (13.3%) with NVL (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesions</th>
<th>No. of reactors</th>
<th>Immunoassay No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVL</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

%: Percentage according to the total No. of reactors.

4. Discussion:

Bovine tuberculosis caused by *M. bovis*, characterized by progressive developed granulomatous lesions (tubercles) in any body organ, and affected a large number of species. Tuberculosis is now generally perceived to represent the greatest threat to cattle health and incidence of bovine tuberculosis is rising, both in numbers of herd affected and in the number of cases per affected herd (Cobner, 2003). Bovine tuberculosis infected so million cattle world-wide resulting in economic losses of approximately 3 billion (Hewinson, 2000).

As shown in table (1), out of 63 tuberculin-reactor animals; 48 (76.2%) showed VL including 40(83.3%) localized lesions; either respiratory, digestive or mixed, 8 (16.7%) generalized lesions and 15(23.8%) NVL. These results are more or less similar to those recorded by Adawy (1986) where generalized TB lesions were seen in 9.07% of tuberculin positive cow. Also Nasr (1997) reported that, out of 66 reactor cattle, 60 cattle were slaughtered, 44(73.4%) had VL and 16(26.6) with NVL. Hassan (2008) revealed that out of 115 tuberculin reactor animals, 85(73.91%) showed VL and 30(26.09%) had NVL. Also, El-Seedy et al. (2013) detected VL in about 68.1% of the tuberculin reactor cattle while the NVL were seen in 31.9%.

Results illustrated in table (2) showed the results of bacteriological examination of the tuberculin reactors cattle where the total acid fast bacilli recovered from 63 slaughtered tuberculin reactors were 50 (79.4%) of them 74.6% were *M. bovis* and 4.8% were *MOTT*. These results coincided with those recorded by (Calaxton et al., 1979) who found that out of 642 lesions suspected to be tuberculous, (62%) yielded *M.bovis* and (3.6%) other than mycobacteria. In addition, the results are in agreement with (El-Sabbab, 1980) who isolated *M.bovis* (71%) from tuberculous samples in Egypt. On the other hand, the present results differed from those reported by (Choi, 1981) who showed that bacteriological examination of 76 tuberculin reactors cattle and isolated 70 (92.1%) strain of mycobacteria, 33 (47.1%) strain identified as *M.bovis* and 37 strains other than Mycobacteria. The recovery rate of *M.bovis* figured up to (74.6%) was nearly as that reported by (Gouello et al., 1988) which was (69%). Lower *M.bovis* recovery rate of (41%), (35.4%), (29.1%) and (20.2%) were reported by (Beck and Bibrack, 1971), (Osman, 1974), (Gallo et al., 1983) and (Lesslie and Birn, 1970), respectively, while Abou-Eisha et al. (1995) reported (42.9%) recovery rate. On the other hand (Choi, 1981) in Korea reported a much higher isolation rate amounting to (92.1%). These results depend mainly on the actual disease status present in the tested herd to some extent on the experience of the investigators as well as the technique used for decontamination of tissue specimens. Other
authors reported much lower *M. bovis* recovery (Parlas and Rossi, 1964); 14.8%, (Payeur and Marquardt, 1988); 5.6%. Low *M. bovis* recovery of 14.8% rates may be on the expense of other mycobacteria, which may be noticeable in countries where *M. bovis* extirpated from their cattle population, whereas *M. avium* constitutes a problem among cattle herds, which is the case in Germany (Killian, 1982). The recovery rate of atypical mycobacteria was 6.3%, and 3.1 %, which is higher than that reported by (Oliviera et al., 1983) (0.1%). However (Choi, 1981) reported that (48.7%) of the reactors were infected with a typical mycobacteria.

Serological assays are generally simple, rapid and inexpensive, but the development of improved serodiagnostic assays also require understanding of the bTB humeral immune mechanism as it is characterized by highly heterogeneous antigen recognition (Lyashchenko et al., 1998).

As shown in Table (3) results of ELISA test on sera of tuberculin reactor cattle showed that 34 out of 63 positive reactors (54%) were positive for ELISA using PPD-B arranged as follow; 8 (all) generalized lesions (100%), 22(54%) out of 40 localized lesions and 4 out of 15 NVL (26%).

Advances in humeral based responses tests have led to the development of lateral flow test kit among others, to capture and detect *M. bovis* antibodies (Garnier et al., 2003). These chromatographic immunoassays employ unique cocktails of selected *M. bovis* antigens as both qualitative captures and detectors of specific antibodies against *M. bovis* in plasma, serum, and whole blood (Lyashchenko et al., 2004 and Wernery et al., 2007). MPB83, ESAT-6, 14-kDa protein, CFP-10, MBP70, MPT63, MPT51, MPT32, MBP59, MPB64, Acr1, PsrS-1, *M. bovis* purified protein derivatives, ESAT-6/CFP10 fusion protein, 16-kDa alpha-crystallin/MP883 fusion protein, and *M. bovis* culture filtrate have been identified as the common sero-reactive antigens in bTB (Lyashchenko et al., 2004; Waters et al., 2006 and El-Seedy et al., 2013). The bound antibodies are visualized with the naked eye as colour band at the test device within some minutes of application (Lyashchenko et al., 2004 and Wernery et al., 2007).

As shown in Table (4) the lateral flow test, 7 out of 8(87.5) with generalized positive to lateral flow, 18 out of 40(45%) with localized lesions positive to lateral flow, 2 out of 15(13.3) with NVL positive to lateral flow.

In comparison between ELISA and lateral flow on sera of tuberculin reactors cattle in ELISA, 8 out of 8 (100%) with generalized TB positive, but 7(87.5%) out of 8 with localized lesions positive to lateral flow, 22(54%) out of 40 with localized lesions positive to ELISA, but 18 (26%) out of 40 with localized lesions positive to lateral flow, 4(26%) out of 15 with NVL positive to ELISA, but 2 (13.3%) out of 15 with NVL positive to lateral flow. The current results not coincide with the conclusion of Ritacco et al. (1990) who concluded that the lower sensitivity of ELISA compared with that of tuberculin test make it of low value as an alternative to tuberculin test. On other hand these results coincide with the results reported by (Reggiardo et al., 1981), as they recorded that the sensitivity of ELISA was 86%. The previous results coincided with several authors, Thoen et al. (1983) recorded positive results of 80% in comparative tuberculin test, Hall and Thoen (1985) recorded 100% positive ELISA in calves, Auerand Schleehauf (1988) recorded 88.7% ELISA sensitivity, Ayanwale (1987) recorded 98% and 65% for sensitivity and specificity of ELISA, respectively for *M. bovis*, Dimitri (1987) recorded that sensitivity and specificity of ELISA used in tuberculosis reached 100% in cattle. Lilienbaum et al. (2001) reported the sensitivity and specificity 91.3% and 94.8% in bovine tuberculosis. Nasr et al. (2005) reported 76% sensitivity and 100% specificity for ESAT-6 in vivo diagnosis of bovine tuberculosis.

False negative ELISA results explained by the fact that low titer of antibodies to mycobacterial
antigens which may be associated with heavy infection and that antigens may be released into the blood circulation and cause temporary suppression of antibody formation (Krambovitis, 1986) and that agree with Thorns and Morris (1983) who cleared the level of specific antibodies in many M. bovis infected cattle may be low or undetectable. Again this is supported with Amadori et al. (1998) who pointed that antibodies to mycobacterial antigens were investigated with various rates of success since the humeral immune response to M. bovis is late and irregular during the course of the disease. In the current study there were not false negative results. It is concluded that the lateral flow assay is rapid, simple and safe and gives results within short period but not enough alone to detect the disease in concern but can act as complementary for tuberculin skin test especially in anergic cattle.
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