ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Prevalence of Antimicrobials and Virulence-Related Genes in *Salmonellae* Detected in Local Hatcheries in Northern Upper Egypt

Samia S. Fahmy¹ · Salama A.S. Shany¹ · Al-Hussein M. Dahshan¹ · Soad A. Nasef² · Azza A. El-Sawah¹

Received: 14 August 2023 | Accepted: 09 September 2023

- 1 Department of Poultry Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni Suef 65211, Egypt.
- 2 Reference Laboratory for Veterinary Quality Control on Poultry Production, Animal Health Research Institute.

Correspondence

Samia S. Fahmy, Poultry Diseases Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni Suef 65211, Egypt E-mail: samiasayed84@gmail.com

Abstract

Salmonellosis represents a critical problem not only to the poultry producers owing to it is economic impact, but also for poultry consumers due to it is zoonotic health problems. In the present study avian Salmonellae were isolated from local hatcheries in 2 Egyptian governorates, Beni Suef and El Fayoum, during the period from May, 2018 until November, 2019. Samples were collected from 16 local chicken hatcheries in Beni Suef (N=12) and El Fayoum (N=4) governorates. Specimens were collected from liver of dead in shell embryos. Salmonella isolation was successful in 31.25% of the samples. Serotyping revealed detection of S. kentucky, S. sinchew, S. infantis S. larochelle, and S. colindale. Salmonellae examination for antimicrobial susceptibility by disc diffusion method showed resistance to several antimicrobial drugs including [penicillin, amoxicillin, cefradine and streptomycine (no sensitivity at all) followed by apramycin and tetracyclines (25% sensitivity for each)]. On the other hand, Salmonellae were sensitive to enrofloxacin (100%) fosfomycin (75%) and sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprime (75%). Molecular screening of both virulence and drug resistance genes revealed the harboring of invA, stn, avrA virulence genes by all isolates. Phenotypic and genotypic variation in drug resistance was observed for different serovars and different classes of antimicrobial agents; S. infantis and S. larochelle were positive for PCR targeting *qnrA* gene although phenotypically they were sensitive to enrofloxacin. All of the tested serovars (except S. colindale) showed sensitivity to sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprime although they harbored *sul1* gene. At the same time S. colindale harbored tetA gene and it was sensitive to oxytetracycline by disc diffusion. Similar discrepancy was observed for florfenicol and some aminoglycosides.

Keywords

Antimicrobial, Hatcheries, Poultry, Prevalence, Resistance, Salmonella, Virulence Genes

1. Introduction

Beside the health hazard exemplified by *Salmonellae*, Infection with *Salmonellae* is a critical problem to poultry farms due to reduced bird productivity or mortality (**Talha et al., 2001; Haider et al., 2004**). *Salmonella* is a Gramnegative, non-capsulated, small rod shaped, non-spore forming, and facultative anaerobic bacteria (**Denise et al., 2015**). *Salmonella* causes reduction in egg production, as well as reduced fertility and hatchability of infected eggs (**Hameed et al., 2014**). Both young and adult birds are susceptible to infection with *Salmonella* spp. (**Habrun et al., 2006**). The microorganism can be transmitted by horizontal and/or vertical routes (**Berchieri et al., 2001**). Infected hens play an important role in the infection cycle to the chicks either directly from an infected ovary, oviduct or indirectly by egg shell contamination during egg passage through the cloaca of clinically diseased or carrier hens (Saif et al., 2008; Gantois et al., 2009). Infection of chicks post-hatching by feco-oral and nasal routes is considered another important mode of transmission. After infection chicks develop complete in-appetence, severe weakness, thirstiness, drooped wings, ruffled feathers and diarrhea especially in acute cases. Mild respiratory distress could be also observed (Nazir et al., 2012). Damage in different organs including liver, intestine, pancreas, heart, ovary, lung, kidneys, foot pad, joints, anterior chamber of the eye and muscles of the gizzard in chicks and chickens infected salmonellosis (Johnson et al., 1992; Salem et al., 1992; Nazir et al., 2012) approves the extensive dissemination of the pathogen by the infected flocks. Shedding the pathogen into the environment and poultry byproducts constitute a great risk for human infection.

Native breeds of chickens represent a good and cheap source of protein (egg and/or meat) for human especially in villages and rural areas in developing countries. They are generally preferred to raise by household poultry producers because of their palatability as well as their tolerance to the adverse environmental conditions which are comparable to the modern poultry genotypes that require more comfortable system of housing (Pawar et al., 2016; Abioja and Abiona, 2020). Household poultry producers depend on local hatcheries as the major source of hatchlings. Fertile eggs in those low capacity hatcheries are collected from the surrounding villages and small farms. The absence of an efficient pathogen detection systems or carrier birds culling as well as the lack of biosecurity in these egg producing holdings beside the inefficient egg or hatchery cleaning before hatching allow the shedding of different pathogens especially vertically transmitted ones into the hatching day old birds. Salmonella increases in number during the incubation of a contaminated egg (Cason et al., 1991; Hammack et al., 1993). This will result in cross contamination between infected and non-infected eggs and chicks with subsequent higher prevalence of the disease (Bailey et al., (1994). This highlights the role of hatcheries as a Salmonella reservoir.

In the present study we are aiming to detect and identify *Salmonella* in local chick hatcheries in Beni Suef and El Fayoum governorates. Phenotypic screening of the antimicrobial resistance pattern and molecular detection of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes in *Salmonella* will be performed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling

Samples were collected from 16 local chicken hatcheries (a single visit per hatchery) in Beni Suef (N=12) and El Fayoum (N=4) governorates, Egypt from May, 2018 through November, 2019. Specimens were collected from liver of embryos being dead in shell (10 embryos per hatchery). Samples were collected and transported in sterile tubes under cold condition for further investigation.

2.2. Isolation and Identification of *Salmon-ella spp.*

Salmonella isolation was accomplished according to Collee et al., (1996). Samples were pre-enriched in buffered peptone water (1:10 dilution) at 37°C/18 hr. 100µl were then mixed with 10 ml of the Rappaport Vassiliadis (RV) broth (Oxoid, United Kingdom) and incubated at 41.5°C/24 hr. A loopfull was then cultivated onto xylose lysine deoxycholate (XLD) agar medium (supplemented with novobiocin at the rate of 50µg/100 ml agar), Salmonella Shigella (SS) agar medium (Oxoid, United Kingdom) and brilliant green (BG) agar medium (Oxoid, United Kingdom), then the inoculated plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h. From each plate one of the suspicious colonies of typical appearance for Salmonella was picked up for further purification on tryptone soya agar (TSA) (Oxoid, United Kingdom). Biochemical identification of the isolates was done according to Collee et al. (1996); Quinn et al. (2002).

2.3. Serological Identification

Salmonella serotyping was carried out according to White Kauffmann Leminor scheme as described by **Grimont and Weill (2007).** The first screening was conducted using polyvalent O antisera. Positive strains were further examined with poly H (phase 1 and 2) antisera.

2.4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility

It was done on Mueller Hinton agar (*Oxoid, United Kingdom*) following the instruction of **CLSI** (2019). 17 discs (*Oxoid, United Kingdom*) (refer to table 3) were used. The diameters of the inhibition zones was measured and evaluated according to **CLSI** (2019). The lack of sensitivity to more than two groups of antibiotics was used as an evidence of multidrug resistance (MDR) (Magiorakos et al., 2012).

2.5. Molecular Detection of Antimicrobial Resistance and Virulence Associated Genes in Recovered Salmonellae

Polymerase chain reaction was performed to detect *avrA*, *stn* and *invA* virulence genes as well as different antimicrobial associated genes. DNA was extracted using QIAamp DNA mini kit (*Qiagen, Germany*). Primers used for each gene are shown in Table (1). Each reaction was prepared by mixing 12.5ul Emerald Amp GT PCR mastermix (*Takara Bio Inc., Japan*), 4.5ul PCR grade water, 1ul forward primer, 1ul reverse primer and 6ul template DNA. Thermal profile followed in PCR included one cycle of initial denaturation at 94°C/5 min. followed by 35 cycles of amplification each cycle included a secondary denaturation step at 94°C/30 sec., an annealing step (refer to table 1) for 40 sec. and an extension step at 72°C/45 sec. and the last cycle was the final extension at 72°C/10 min. PCR products were then separated by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose.

Table 1. Oligonucleotide primers sequences								
Primer	Sequence	Amplified product	Annealing temperature	Reference				
bla _{тем}	ATCAGCAATAAACCAGC CCCCGAAGAACGTTTTC	516 bp	54°C	Colom et al., 2003				
TetA	GGTTCACTCGAACGACGTCA CTGTCCGACAAGTTGCATGA	576 bp	50°C	Dendell et al. 2004				
aadA1	TATCAGAGGTAGTTGGCGTCAT GTTCCATAGCGTTAAGGTTTCATT	484 bp	54°C	Randali et al., 2004				
Sul1	CGGCGTGGGCTACCTGAACG GCCGATCGCGTGAAGTTCCG	433 bp	60°C	Ibekwe et al., 2011				
QnrA	ATTTCTCACGCCAGGATTTG GATCGGCAAAGGTTAGGTCA	516 bp	55°C	Robicsek et al., 2006				
FloR	TTTGGWCCGCTMTCRGAC SGAGAARAAGACGAAGAAG	494 bp	50°C	Doublet et al., 2003				
Stn	TTGTGTCGCTATCACTGGCAACC ATTCGTAACCCGCTCTCGTCC	617 bp	59°C	Murugkar et al., 2003				
InvA	GTGAAATTATCGCCACGTTCGGGCAA TCATCGCACCGTCAAAGGAACC	284 bp	55°C	Oliveira et al., 2003				
AvrA	CCTGTATTGTTGAGCGTCTGG AGAAGAGCTTCGTTG AATGTCC	422 bp	58°C	Huehn et al., 2010				

3. Results

3.1. Isolation and Biochemical Identification of Salmonella: Suspected Colonies for Salmonella biovars Appeared on XLD agar as red colonies with black centers, on SS agar appeared as yellow with black colored centers and on BG agar appeared as red colonies. Microscopical examination of Gram's stained smears of the suspected

colonies showed Gram negative short bacilli. *Salmonella* isolates showed positivity to citrate utilization, methyl red, and hydrogen sulphide production in TSI. On the other hand, they were negative for indole production, Voges- Proskauer, lactose fermentation and urea hydrolysis tests.



Fig.1. Characteristic Salmonella colonies on SS agar (left) and XLD agar (right). Salmonella colonies appear yellow with black center on SS agar and appear black on XLD agar.

3.2. Isolation rates

Form a total of 16 hatcheries 5 *Salmonella* isolates were obtained (31.25% detection rate) (**Table, 2**). Two isolates were obtained from four hatcheries tested at El Fayoum (50%) and 3 isolates out of 12 hatcheries from Beni Suef (25%). Serotyping of different isolates revealed the following serovars; *S. larochelle* 6,7:e,h:1,2 and *S. infantis* 6,7,1y:r:1,5 for isolates from Fayoum governorate while isolates from Beni Suef governorate were *S. sinchew* 3,10:1,v:z35, *S. kentucky* 8,20:i:z 6 and *S. colindale* 6,7:r:1,7.

3.3. Antimicrobial susceptibility profile

Data in (**Table**, **3**) demonstrate the antimicrobial discs, concentration and results of antimicrobial disc diffusion test applied on different *Salmonella* serovars. Summary of molecular detection of resistance and virulence-related genes among different serovars is shown in (**Table**, **4**).

Fahmy et al., 2023

Table 2. Summary of isolation of Salmonella from different examined hatcheries

	Location					
	Fayoum	Beni-Suef				
Number of hatcheries	4	12				
Number of isolates	2 (50%)	3 (25%)				
Serovars	S. larochelle 6,7:e,h:1,2	S. sinchew 3,10:1,v:z35				
	S. infantis 6,7,1y : r: 1,5	S. kentucky 8,20:i:z 6				
		S colindale 6 7:r:1 7				

Table 3. Antimicrobial discs, concentration and interpretation of the results of antimicrobial susceptibility among different

 Salmonella serovars.

				lle	3	is	ķ	le	No of bacterial isolates			
		Code	Conc. (µg)	S.laroche	S.sinche	S. infant	S. kentuc	S. colinda	Resistant	Intermed iate	Sensitive	Total
	Fosfomycin	Fos	50	S	S	S	I	S	0	1	4	5
	Penicillin	Р	10	R	R	R	R	R	5	0	0	5
	Amoxicillin	AML	10	R	R	R	R	R	5	0	0	5
	Amox+clavulnic	AMC	30	S	R	S	R	1	2	1	2	5
	Cefradine	CE	30	R	R	R	R	R	5	0	0	5
t	Oxytetracycline	ОТ	30	R	R	R	R	S	4	0	1	5
iicrobial age	Gentamycin	CN	10	R	S	R	R	S	3	0	2	5
	Streptomycin	S	10	R	R	R	R	R	5	0	0	5
	Kanamycin	к	30	R	I.	R	S	S	2	1	2	5
	Neomycin	N	30	I.	I.	R	I	S	1	3	1	5
tin	Apramycin	APR	15	R	R	R	R	1	4	1	0	5
An	Sulfa+trimethoprime	SxT	25	S	S	S	S	R	1	0	4	5
	Ciprofloxacin	Cip	5	1	1	R	R	S	2	2	1	5
	Enrofloxacin	ENR	5	S	S	S	S	S	0	0	5	5
	Choramphenicol	С	30	R	S	R	R	S	3	0	2	5
	Florfenicol	FFC	30	R	S	R	S	S	2	0	3	5
	Polymyxin- B	PB	300	S	S	S	R	R	2	0	3	5
MDR bacteria			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					

Sulfa+trimethoprime: sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprime, S: mean sensitive, R: mean resistant, I: intermediate sensitivity, MDR: mean multi drug resistant, Yes: means that the microorganism is resistant to ≥ 3 different classes of antimicrobial agents.

 Table 4. Summary of molecular detection of antimicrobial resistance and virulence associated genes among different

 Salmonella serovars.

Sample	<i>Ыа</i> тем	tetA	aadA1	sul1	qnrA	<i>flo</i> R	invA	stn	avrA
S.larochelle	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
S.sinchew	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
S.infantis	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
S.kentucky	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
S.colindale	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+

4. Discussion

<u>74</u> JVMR .

Salmonella is acritical food borne pathogen representing a risk to humans and poultry producers (Lynne et al., 2009). Subclinical Salmonella infections in healthy carriers allows easy transmission of the pathogen not only from bird to birdbut also from farm to farm with subsequent dissemination to table eggs or poultry meat (Hugas and Beloeil, 2014). In poultry production, the hatchery is linking the breeder flock and hatchlings thus; contaminated hatcheries have a vital role in disease epidemiology (Wideman, 2016). In the present study 5 Salmonella isolates were obtained from the 16 local hatcheries in Beni Suef and El Fayoum governorates. Salmonella isolation was successfully performed by pre-enrichment on BPW then enrichment on RV broth at 41.5°C. XLD agar medium supplemented with novobiocin at the rate of 50µg/100 ml agar was used. Five isolates were obtained out of 16 tested hatcheries in both governorates (31.3% positivity) which differs than those reported by **Basuony et al.**, (2022) who detected Salmonella infection in 2/3 hatcheries (2 out of 150 egg batches and 40 eggs). In the current study we targeted dead in shell embryos in which the rate of bacterial infection is high. Also the use of Novobiocin improves the efficiency of culturing Salmonella (Hoben et al., 1973; Restaino et al., 1977: Moats, 1978; Komatsu and Restaino, 1981). Serotyping revealed the presence of S. infantis, S. kentucky, S. larochelle, S. sinchew, S. colindale in the positive hatcheries. High prevalence of S. infantis and S. kentucky was reported by many authors (Barua et al., 2013; Nabil, 2015; Andoh et al., 2016). El-Sheikh, (2019) showed the isolation of seven Salmonella serovars from chickens; S. enteritidis, S. infantis, S. newlands, S. kentucky, S. wey bridge, S. naestved, and S. ferruch. Sharma et al., (2019) demonstrated that S. kentucky, S. virchow, and S. typhimurium are more prevalent. Although the prevalent serovars differ according to the geographic locations, it seems that certain serovars of Salmonella are of higher prevalence in poultry compared to live stock animals (Hegazy, 2002; Foley et al., 2011; Djeffal et al., 2018). The absence of an efficient pathogen detection systems or carrier birds culling as well as the lack of

biosecurity and low levels of hatchery hygiene in local hatcheries allow further spread of different pathogens (Cason et al., 1991; Hammack et al., 1993).

Isolation of *S. infantis* highlights the risk of hatcheries in infection as possible source of infection to human. *S. infantis* is one of the main causes of gastroenteritis in human worldwide (**Najjar et al., 2012**). Most *S. enterica* serotypes can cause self-limiting gastroenteritis but non treated infections can be life threatening (**Rhoades et al., 2009**).

Improper use of some antibiotics in poultry and animal farms is threatening the human health (WHO, 2016). Therefor the antibiotics should be selected properly on phenotypic or genotypic bases (Seifi et al., 2015; Radwan et al., 2016; Khaltabadi Farahani et al., 2018). In the present study, 100% of tested isolates (n=5) although of being sensitive to some antimicrobials, they have MDR phenomena. They showed high sensitivity to enrofloxacin (100%) fosfomycin (75%) and sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprime (75%). The least effective antimicrobials against the tested Salmonella serovars were penicillin, amoxicillin, cefradine and streptomycine (no sensitivity at all) followed by apramycin and tetracyclines (25% sensitivity for each). These results come in accordance with previous reports (Radwan et al., 2016; Asif et al., 2017; Hassan et al., 2018; Uddin and Ahn 2018). In our study, MDR pattern in 100% of the isolates which is more or less similar to the findings of Radwan et al., (2016); Hassan et al., (2018) reveals the bad infection control practices within the poultry farms and the surrounding environment. Antimicrobial resistance is uncommon among Salmonellae obtained from poultry hatchling although Habing et al. (2015) detected resistance in 19% of the isolates to more than one group of antimicrobials. The haphazard application of antimicrobial medication in different farms specially the traditional and well known antimicrobials as beta lactams and aminoglycosides has resulted in higher rates of drug resistance. The study area targeted local hatcheries where bad hygiene is common. Also samples were collected from dead in shell embryos (the late stage of hatching) where the humidity, temperature and the organic matters are available allowing the replication of bacterial members Enterobacteriaceae even non-pathogenic ones.

The correlation of MDR phenomena to the presence of mobile genetic element in *Salmonella* (Dhanani et al., 2015; Radwan et al., 2020) could explain the variations in phenotypic and genotypic pattern of drug resistance in our study. Phenotypic and genotypic variation in drug resistance was observed for different serovars and different classes of antimicrobial agents; *S. infantis* and *S. larochelle* were positive for PCR targeting *qnrA* gene although phenotypically they were sensitive to enrofloxacin. All of the tested serovars (except *S. colindale*) showed sensitivity to sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprime although they harbored sull gene. In the same time *S. colindale* harbored tetA gene and it was sensitive to oxytetracycline by disc diffusion. Similar discrepancy was observed for florfenicol and some aminoglycosides. The presence of clavulinic acid and

trimethoprime in combination with amoxicillin and sulphonamide, respectively could enhance the efficacy of the antimicrobials and reduce resistance of the target bacteria. The variation between the phenotypic and genotypic resistance pattern for quinolones among *S. infantis* and *S. larochelle* in the current study could be explained by the presence of 3 different ways for quinolone resistance (Lunn et al., 2010). At the same time *S. colindale* harbored *tetA* gene and they were sensitive to oxytetracycline by disc

diffusion. Similar discrepancy was observed for florfenicol and some aminoglycosides. Tetracycline resistance is mediated by *tetA* as well as *tetB* genes and this explains the possible variation in genotypic and phenotypic pattern of resistance to tetracycline (Adesiji et al., 2014).

In the current work, the results illustrated in **Table (4)** revealed that all the tested *Salmonella* isolates harbored *invA*, *stn* and *avrA* genes which come in accordance with **Ammar et al.**, (2016). Although the enterotoxin (*stn*) is a diarrheic mediator in *Salmonella* (Chopra et al., 1999; Huehn et al., 2010; Osman et al., 2010; Thung et al., 2018), the ability of *stn* deletion mutant *Salmonella* to maintain its virulence led some researchers to consider *stn* as non-virulence factor (Nakano et al., 2012). In contrast, inactivation of this gene reduced the accumulation of the intra-intestinal fluids after infection with *Salmonella* Typhimurium (Chopra et al., 1999).

5. Conclusion

In the present study high rate of prevalence of *Salmonella* and the presence of multidrug resistance were observed. Improvement of hatchery hygiene, application of an efficient pathogen detection and disease control strategies as well as the proper application of antimicrobial agents and the research for novel antimicrobial agents would improve *Salmonella* control.

6. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

7. References

- Abioja MO, Abiona JA (2020). Impacts of climate change to poultry production in Africa: Adaptation options for broiler chickens. In: W. Leal Filho et al. (eds), African Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation. Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-3-030-45106-6 111
- Adesiji YO, Deekshit VK, Karunasagar, I, (2014). Antimicrobial-resistant genes associated with Salmonella spp. isolated from human, poultry and seafood sources. Food. Sci. Nutr. 2, 436–442. https://doi.org/10. 1002/fsn3.119
- Ammar AM, Mohamed AA, Abd ElHamid, Marwa I, El-Azzouny, Mona M (2016). Virulence genotypes of clinical Salmonella serovars from broilers in Egypt. J Infect Dev Ctries, 10(4):337-346. https://doi.org/ 10.3855/jidc.7437
- Andoh LA, Dalsgaard A, Obiridanso K, Newman MJ (2016). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella serovars isolated from poultry in Ghana. Epidemiol Infect, 144(15):3288-3299. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S095 0268816001126
- Asif M, Rahman H, Qasim M, Khan TA, Ullah W, Jie Y (2017). Molecular detection and antimicrobial resistance profile of zoonotic Salmonella enteritidis isolated from broiler chickens in Kohat, Pakistan. Journal of

<u>76</u> JVMR

the Chinese Medical Association, 80(5): 303-306. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jcma.2016.11.007

- Bailey JS, Cox NA, Berreang ME (1994). Hatchery acquired Salmonellae in broiler chicks. Poultry Science 73, 1153-1157.
- Barua H, Biswas PK, Olsen KE, Shil SK, Christensen JP (2013). Molecular characterization of motile serovars of Salmonella enterica from breeder and commercial broiler poultry farms in Bangladesh. Pub. Med, 8(3):e57811. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057811
- Basuony ME, Hussien HA M, Bakhiet EK, Kilany WH, Abu-Elala NM, Ali A (2022) In-vivo characterization of multidrug-resistant Salmonella enterica Serovar Enteritidis (SE) recovered from fertile eggs and baby chicks. German Journal of Microbiology 2(3): 24-33. https://doi.org/10.51585/gjm.2022.3.0019
- Berchieri A Jr, Wigley P, Page K, Murphy CK, Barrow PA (2001). Further studies on vertical transmission and persistence of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis phage type 4 in chickens. Avian Pathol, 30, 297-310. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/03079450120066304
- Cason JA, Cox NA, Bailey JS (1991). Survival of Salmonella typhimurium during incubation and hatching of inoculated eggs. Poultry Science 70 (Suppl. 1): 152.
- Chopra AK, Huang JH, Xu XJ, Burden K, Niesel DW, Rosenbaum MW, Popov VL, Peterson JW (1999). Role of Salmonella enterotoxin in overall virulence of the organism. Microb. Pathog. 27:155–171. https://doi.org/10.1006/mpat.1999.0294
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) 2019. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 29th edition. CLSI supplement M100. Wayne: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2019.
- **Collee JG, Fraser AG, Marmiio BP, Simon A, Old DC (1996).** Mackie and McCarteny Practical Medical 68 Microbiology. 14th ed. Longman Singapore publishers (Pte). Ltd. Singapore.
- Colom K, Pèrez J, Alonso R, Fernández-AranguizA, Lariňo E, Cisterna R (2003). Simple and reliable multiplex PCR assay for detection of bla_{TEM}, bla_{SHV} and bla_{OXA-1} genes in enterobacteriaceae. FEMS Microbiology Letters 223 (2003) 147-151. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0378-1097(03)00306-9
- Denise MD, Ralf K, Nimako S, Hagen F, Kennedy GB, Michael F, Renate A, Richard L, Ralf MH, Sven P, Wolfgang R, Florian M, Yaw AS, Jürgen M (2015). Drinking water from dug wells in rural Ghana- Salmonella contamination, environmental factors, and genotypes. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 12: 3535-3546. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120403535
- Dhanani AS, Block G, Dewar K, Forgetta V, Topp E, Beiko RG, Diarra MS (2015). Genomic comparison of nontyphoidal Salmonella enterica serovars typhimurium, enteritidis, Heidelberg, Hadar and Kentucky isolates from broiler chickens. PLoS One, 10(6): 0128773. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0128773
- Djeffal S, Mamache B, Elgroud R, Hireche S, Bouaziz (2018). Prevalence and risk factors for Salmonella spp. contamination in broiler chicken farms and slaughterhouses in the northeast of Algeria. Vet World, 11(8): 1102. https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2018.1102-1108
- Doublet B, Lailler R, Meunier D, Brisabois A, Boyd D, Mulvey MR, Chaslus-Dancla E, Cloeckaert A (2003). Variant Salmonella Genomic Island 1 antibiotic resistance gene cluster in Salmonella enterica serovar Albany. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 9(5): 585-591. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0905.020609
- ElSheikh M, Abdeen E, Ammar A (2019). Molecular detection of Some virulence genes of Salmonella serotypes isolated from poultry in Egypt. J Current Vet Res., 1(1): 86–93. https://doi.org/10.21608/ JCVR.2019.36570
- Foley SL, Nayak R, Hanning IB, Johnson TJ, Han J, Ricke SC (2011). Population dynamics of Salmonella enterica serotypes in commercial egg and poultry production. Appl. environ. Microbial. 77: 4273–4279. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.00598-11
- Gantois I, Ducatelle R, Pasmans F, Haesebrouck F, Gast R, Humphrey TJ, Van Immerseel F (2009). Mechanisms of egg contamination by Salmonella enteritidis. FEMS Microbiol Rev 33:718–738. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00161

- Grimont PAD, Weil FX (2007). Antigentic formulae of the Salmonella serovars. WHO collaborating. Center of Reference and Research on Salmonella, Institute, Pasteur, 1-166.
- Habing GG, Kessler SE, Mollenkopf DF, Wittum TE, Anderson TC, Barton BC, Joseph LA, Erdman MM (2015). Distribution and diversity of Salmonella strains in shipments of hatchling poultry, United States, Zoonoses Public Hlth. 62: 375-380 https://doi.org/10.1111/ zph.12157
- Habrun B, Listes E, Sspicic S, Cventic Z, Lukacevic D, Jemersic I, Lojki CM, Kompes G (2006). An outbreak of Salmonella abortusovis Abortion sheep in South Croatia. Journal of Veterinary Medicine Series B, 53:286-290. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0450.2006.00959
- Haider MG, Hossain MG, Hossain MS, Chowdhury EH, Das PM, Hossain MM (2004). Isolation and characterization of Enterobacteria associated with health and disease in Sonali chickens. BanganladeshJournal ofVeterinaryMedicine2 (1):15-21. https://doi.org/10.3329/bjvm.v2i1.1928
- Hameed U, Akram W, Anjum MS (2014). Effect of Salmonella on hatchability and fertility in Laying hen, an assessment. Veterinaria 2014; 2: 20-23.
- Hammack TS, Sherrod PS, Bruce VR, June GA, Satchell FB, Andrews WH (1993). Growth of Salmonella enteridis in grade A eggs during prolonged storage.Poultry Science 72, 373-377. https://doi.org/ 10.3382/ps.0720373
- Hassan WH, Abed AH, Thabet A, El Nady EAM (2018). Genetic analysis of multidrug resistant Salmonella isolated from broiler chickens. J Vet Med Res., 25 (1): 121-131.
- Hegazy AE (2002). Epidemiological studies on Salmonellosis in chickens with special reference to Salmonella enteritidis. PhD Thesis, Fac Vet Med Alex Univ Egypt.
- Hoben DA, Ashton DH, Peterson AC (1973). Some observations on the incorporation of novobiocin into hektoen enteric agar for improved Salmonella isolation. Appl. Microbiol. 26:126-127. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/am.26.1.126-127.1973
- Huehn S, La Ragione RM, Anjum M, Saunders M, Woodward MJ, Bunge C, Helmuth R, Hauser E, Guerra B, Beutlich J, Brisabois A, Peters T, Svensson L, Madajczak G, Litrup E, Imre A, Herrera-Leon S, Mevius D, Newell DG, Malorny B (2010). Virulotyping and antimicrobial resistance typing of Salmonella entericaserovars relevant to human health in Europe. Foodborne Pathogens Dis 2010; 7:523-35. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2009.0447
- Hugas M, Beloeil PA (2014). Controlling Salmonella along the food chain in the European Union – progress over the last ten years.EuroSurveill 19 (19). https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es2014.19.19.20804
- Ibekwe AM, Murinda SE, Graves AK (2011). Genetic diversity and antimicrobial resistance of Escherichia coli from Human and Animal Sources Uncovers Multiple Resistances from Human Sources. PLoS ONE, Volume 6, Issue 6, e20819. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0020819
- Johnson DC, David M, Goldsmith S (1992). Epizootiological investigation of an outbreak of pullorum disease in an integrated broiler operation. Avian Dis. 36, 770-5. https://doi.org/10.2307/1591783
- Khaltabadi Farahani R, Ehsani P, Ebrahimi-Rad M, Khaledi A (2018). Molecular detection, virulence genes, biofilm formation, and antibiotic resistance of Salmonella enterica serotype enteritidis isolated from poultry and clinical samples. Jundishapur J Microbiol., 11(10). https://doi.org/10.5812/jjm.69504
- Komatsu KK, Restaino L, (1981). Determination of the effectiveness of novobiocin added to two agar plating media for the isolation of Salmonella from fresh meat products. J. Food Safety 3:183-192. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4565.1981.tb00420.x
- Lunn AD, Fàbrega A, Sánchez-Céspedes J, Vila J (2010). Prevalence of mechanisms decreasing quinolonesusceptibility among Salmonella spp. clinical isolates. Int. Microbiol. 13:15-20. https://doi.org/ 10.2436/ 20.1501.01.107
- Lynne AM, Dorsey LL, David DE, Foley SL (2009). Characterization of antibiotic resistance in host-adapted Salmonella enterica. Int. J. Antimicrobl. Agents 34 (2), 169–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ijantimicag.2009.02.018

- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG (2012). Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrugresistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect., 18(3):268–281. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x
- Moats W (1978). Comparison of four agar plating media with and without added novobiocin for isolation of Salmonellae from beef and deboned poultry meat. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 36:747-751. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/ aem.36.5.747-751
- Murugkar HV, Rahman H, Dutta PK (2003). Distribution of virulence genes in Salmonella serovars isolated from man & animals. Indian J Med Res., 117:66-70.
- Nabil NM (2015). Molecular studies on antimicrobial resistance genes in Salmonella isolated from poultry. Doctoral dissertation, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University.
- Najjar Z, Furlong C, Stephens N, Shadbolt C, Maywood P, Conaty S, Hogg G, (2012). An outbreak of Salmonella infantis gastroenteritis in a residential aged care facility associated with thickened fluids. Epidemiol. Infect. 140, 2264–2272. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0950268812000180
- Nakano M, Yamasaki E, Ichinose A, Shimohata T, Takahashi A, Akada JK, Nakamura K, Moss J, Hirayama T, Kurazono H (2012). Salmonella enterotoxin (Stn) regulates membrane composition and integrity. Dis. Model. Mech. 5: 515-521. https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.009324
- Nazir Sh, Kamil SA, Darzi MM, Mir MS, Nazir K, Amare A (2012). Pathology of spontaneously occurring salmonellosis in commercial broiler chickens of kashmir valley, J. World's Poult. Res. 2(4): 63-69.
- Oliveira SD, Rodenbusch CR, Ce MC, Rocha SLS, Canal CW (2003). Evaluation of selective and non selective en-richment PCR procedures for Salmonella detection. Lett. Appl. Microbiol., 36: 217-221. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2003.01294.x
- Osman KM, Yousef AM, Aly MM, Radwan MI (2010). Salmonella spp. infection in imported 1-dayold chicks, ducklings, and turkey poults: a public health risk. Foodborne Pathog Dis., 7: 383-390. https://doi.org/10.1089/ fpd.2009.0358
- Pawar SS, Sajjanar B, Lonkar VD, Nitin KP, Kadam AS, Nirmale AV, Brahmane MP, Bal SK (2016). Assessing and mitigating the impact of heat stress in poultry. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 4(6): 332-341. http://dx.doi.org/10.14737/ journal.aavs/2016/4.6.332.341
- Quinn PJ, Markey BK, Carter ME, Donnelly WJC, Leonard FC, Maguire D (2002) .Veterinary microbiology and microbial diseases. 1st edition, Published Blackwell Science, Hoboken, NJ, 536 pp
- Radwan IA, Abd El-Halim MW, Abed AH (2020). Molecular characterization of antimicrobial resistant Escherichia coli isolated from broiler chickens. J Vet Med Res., 27(2): 128-142. https://doi.org/10.21608/JVMR.2020.31870.1009
- Radwan IA, Abed AH, Abd Al-Wanis SA, Abd El-Aziz GG, El-Shemy A (2016). Antibacterial effect of cinnamon and oreganium oils on multidrug resistant Escherichia coli and Salmonellae isolated from broiler chickens. J Egy Vet Med Ass. 76 (2): 169-186.
- Randall LP, Cooles SW,Osborn MK, Piddock LJV, Woodward MJ (2004). Antibiotic resistance genes, integrons and multiple antibiotic resistance in thirty-five serotypes of Salmonella enterica isolated from humans and animals in the UK. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy.53, 208–216. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh070

- Restaino L, Grauman G S, McCall WA, Hill WM (1977). Effects of varying concentrations of novobiocin incorporated into two Salmonella plating media on the recovery of four enterobacteriaceae. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 33:585-589. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem. 33.3.585-589
- Rhoades JR, Duffy G, Koutsoumanis K, (2009). Prevalence and concentration of verocytotoxigenic Escherichia coli, Salmonella enterica and Listeria monocytogenes in the beef production chain: a review. Food. Microbiology. 26 (4), 357–376. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fm.2008.10.012
- Robicsek A, Strahilevitz J, Sahm DF, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC (2006). qnr prevalence in ceftazidime-resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolates from the United States. antimicrob Agents Chemother 50:2872-2874. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01647-05
- Saif YM, Fadly AM, Glisson GR, McDougald LR, Nolan LK, Swayne DE (2008). Salmonella infections. In Diseases of poultry 11th edition. Blackwell Publishing professional, 2121 State Avenue, Ames Iowa 50014, USA, pp 619.
- Salem M, Odor EM, Pope C (1992). Pullorum disease in Delaware roasters. Avian Dis., 36, 1076-1080. https://doi.org/10.2307/1591579
- Seifi S, Khoshbakht R, Atabak AR (2015). Antibiotic susceptibility, serotyping and pathogenicity evaluation of avian Escherichia coli isolated from broilers in northern Iran. Bulgarian J Vet Med., 18(2). https://doi.org/10.15547/bjvm.819
- Sharmaa J, Kumar D, Hussain S, Pathak A, Shukla M, Prasanna Kumar V, Anisha PN, Rautela R, Upadhyay AK, Singh SP (2019). Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes characterization of nontyphoidal Salmonella isolated from retail chicken meat shops in Northern India. Food control. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont. 2019.01.021
- Talha AFSM, Hossain MM, Chowdhury EH, Bari ASM, Islam MR, Das PM (2001). Poultry diseases occurring in Mymensingh district of Bangladesh. The Bangladesh Veterinarian 18: 20-23.
- Thung TY, Radu S, Mahyudin NA, Rukayadi Y, Zakaria Z, Mazlan N (2018). Prevalence, virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance profiles of Salmonella serovars from retail beef in Selangor, Malaysia. Front Microbiol., 8: 2697. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02697
- Uddin MJ, Ahn J (2018). Characterization of β-lactamase- and efflux pumpmediated multiple antibiotic resistance in Salmonella typhimurium. Food Sci Biotechnol., 27(3): 921-928. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10068-018-0317-1
- Wideman RF (2016). Bacterial chondronecrosis with osteomyelitis and l ameness in broilers a review. Poult Sci., 95:325–44. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pev320

World Health Organization (WHO) (2016). Emergencies Preparedness, Response. Salmonellosis - United States of America, Disease Outbreak News.

How to cite this article:

Fahmy SS, Shany SAS, Dahshan AM, Nase SA, El-Sawah AA. Prevalence of Antimicrobials and Virulence-Related Genes in Salmonellae Detected in Local Hatcheries in Northern Upper Egypt. J Vet Med Res., 2023; 30(2): 71–77. https://doi.org/10.21608/jvmr.2023.221307.1087