Evaluation of Kinesio Taping Applied to the Equine Thoracolumbar Spine: Clinical Response and Mechanical Nociceptive Threshold
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Abstract

Kinesio taping (KT) is a technique extrapolated from human physiotherapy consisting of the application of an elastic tape to the skin to trigger analgesic, muscular, postural correction and circulatory effects. It is an easily applicable technique that has been developed in the field of equine physiotherapy over the last decade. The objective of this research is to evaluate the analgesic effect of KT applied to spinous processes of the horse measuring mechanical nociceptive threshold (MNT). KT was applied on 5 spinous processes of 15 horses, in two different experiments, comprising KT with 50% tension (KTT) and KT with no tension (KTNT). Measurements were taken before application of the tape (M0), 60 minutes after (M1) and 24 hours after, following its removal (M2). Clinical assessment of sensitivity to palpation was conducted at M0 and M2. Outcomes obtained at M0 were compared to those obtained at M1 and M2, and between both tests (KTT-KTNT). A significant increase in the MNTs at M1 was observed in both tests but not maintained following its removal 24 hours later. Sensitivity to palpation decreased in practically all the spinous processes in both tests. No significant changes were observed in the comparative analysis between both tests. KT applied to spinous processes of the horse with and without tension causes an increase in the MNTs 60 minutes after application. This effect is not sustained following taping removal although there is a clinically significant decrease of the sensitivity to palpation of the spinous processes.
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1. Introduction

The horse’s spine is a fundamental structure within its biomechanics that limits the animal’s functional capacity when it presents with pain, clinically manifesting as a reduction of sports performance and as behavioural alterations (Allen et al. 2010).

The prevalence of back pathologies involving the spine is high, with large age distribution (5-22 years) (Mayaki et al. 2019) and the majority of these lesions are found in the spinous process (kissing spines) (Jeffcott 1980), most commonly in the middle and caudal thoracic regions (T13-T18) (Clayton and Stubbs 2016).

Diagnosis is often boring due to the difficulty of objectively evaluating the degree of pain the horse is experiencing (Burns et al. 2018).

Kinesio tape (KT) is a technique extrapolated from human physiotherapy consisting of the application of an elastic tape to the skin to trigger analgesic, muscular, postural correction and circulatory effects. It is an easily applicable technique that has been developed in the field of equine physiotherapy over the last decade. The objective of this research is to evaluate the analgesic effect of KT applied to spinous processes of the horse measuring mechanical nociceptive threshold (MNT). KT was applied on 5 spinous processes of 15 horses, in two different experiments, comprising KT with 50% tension (KTT) and KT with no tension (KTNT). Measurements were taken before application of the tape (M0), 60 minutes after (M1) and 24 hours after, following its removal (M2). Clinical assessment of sensitivity to palpation was conducted at M0 and M2. Outcomes obtained at M0 were compared to those obtained at M1 and M2, and between both tests (KTT-KTNT). A significant increase in the MNTs at M1 was observed in both tests but not maintained following its removal 24 hours later. Sensitivity to palpation decreased in practically all the spinous processes in both tests. No significant changes were observed in the comparative analysis between both tests. KT applied to spinous processes of the horse with and without tension causes an increase in the MNTs 60 minutes after application. This effect is not sustained following taping removal although there is a clinically significant decrease of the sensitivity to palpation of the spinous processes.

Keywords Back, Horse, Kinesio tape, Pressure algometry

1. Introduction

The horse’s spine is a fundamental structure within its biomechanics that limits the animal’s functional capacity when it presents with pain, clinically manifesting as a reduction of sports performance and as behavioural alterations (Allen et al. 2010).

The prevalence of back pathologies involving the spine is high, with large age distribution (5-22 years) (Mayaki et al. 2019) and the majority of these lesions are found in the spinous process (kissing spines) (Jeffcott 1980), most commonly in the middle and caudal thoracic regions (T13-T18) (Clayton and Stubbs 2016).

Diagnosis is often boring due to the difficulty of objectively evaluating the degree of pain the horse is experiencing (Burns et al. 2018).
Algometry is a tool that enables sensitivity quantification at a specific point through the application of constant and progressive pressure to the skin until a painful response is obtained. The pressure achieved in this moment is the minimum needed to induce a painful response (mechanical nociceptive threshold, MNT) (Fischer 1987). The clinical improvement resulting from the decrease in pain translates into increases in the nociceptive threshold (Fischer 1986). The algometer is a non-invasive, objective, reliable method that is well-tolerated by horses when quantifying musculoskeletal pain in the neck and spine, making it possible to evaluate the evolution of treatments and their outcomes (Haussler and Erb 2006a, Menke et al. 2016). It has also demonstrated a good correlation to clinical findings (Varcoe-Cocks et al. 2006).

The treatment of spinal pathologies has traditionally involved the systemic and local administration of anti-inflammatories and muscle relaxants, combined in some cases with surgical interference (Garcia-Lopez 2018). The combination of these treatments with physiotherapy, results in an improvement of pain and functional recovery through the use of manual therapies, electrotherapy and therapeutic exercise (Bromiley 1999).

Kinesio taping (KT) is a technique developed by a Japanese chiropractor, Dr. Kenzo Kase, widely used in human physiotherapy, consisting of the application of an elastic tape to the skin, capable of acting on its mechanoreceptors to generate analgesic, muscular and circulatory effects in addition to postural correction (Kase et al. 2003; Molle 2016). Its effects depend on the way it is attached to the skin; in the “space-correction technique”, the elevation of the tissue triggered by the taping (convolutions) decreases the pressure on the nociceptors and increases blood circulation, providing analgesia (Kase et al. 2003).

The exact mechanism by which kinesio taping works has not been determined, but some authors indicate that this analgesic effect responds to the “Gate Control” theory (Melzack and Wall 1965), according to which the taping stimulates the fast-conducting myelinated nerve fibers (A-beta), the information of which is prioritised over the painful stimulus on a spinal level (Castro-Sanchez et al. 2012; Chang et al. 2018; Paoloni et al. 2011).

It is a low-cost technique that is lacking in complications and easy to apply which has proven its analgesic efficacy in humans although there is no evidence that its effects are prolonged (Thelen et al. 2008; Kalron and Bar-Sela 2013; Artioli and Bertolini 2014).

Kinesio taping has been extensively developed in the world of equine physiotherapy over the last decade, mainly applied for pain control, for the release of fascial restrictions, to increase muscle tone or to decrease muscle stiffness or for lymphatic drainage, among other applications. Nonetheless, there remains a lack of scientific studies demonstrating its effects on horses.

The objective of the current is to evaluate the analgesic effect of kinesio taping, with and without tension, on the horse’s spinous process. There have not been any studies on the analgesic effects of this therapy on the horse back to date. Our hypothesis maintains that kinesio taping applied using the space-correction lifting technique over the spinous process of horses ridden regularly leads to an increase in the MNTs (analgesic effect).

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Ethics
Employed horses were client-owned. Owners have signed an informed consent. Information about horses was kept confidential.

2.2. Horses
This study included fifteen client-owned horses, 5 mares, 8 geldings and 2 stallions, aged between 7 and 22 years old (mean age of 15.5 years) and stabled in four equestrian centres located in the same area. All horses did moderate physical activity in the dressage and show jumping categories. Inclusion criteria wasn’t based on age or type of physical activity because back disorders have been described in horses of a large range of age and all kind of equestrian disciplines (Jeffcott 1980; Mayaki et al. 2019). A complete medical history was taken before inclusion of the horses in the study.

Horse selection was based on the following inclusion criteria: 1) horses doing physical activity with ridden work at least three times per week, 2) hair length on back not greater than 1 cm, 3) not having received medical treatments or physiotherapy on the back in the two months prior to the trial, 4) not having received medication via any mode of administration.
Selection of ridden horses was made based on the criteria of other authors that assume that ridden horses are prone to low-grade or subclinical back disorders (Sullivan et al. 2008). The trial was conducted in the summer period to facilitate the inclusion of horses with short hair.

2.3. Experimental procedure

The experimental design of this randomised crossover study comprises evaluation of the effects of kinesio taping over 3 thoracic and 2 lumbar spinous processes (T12, T15, T18, L2 and L4), which were located using an ultrasound (EPTE Ultrasound weighteco1, Ionclinics and Deionic SL, Spain) (Fig. 1) and marked with a skin marker.

All 15 horses were randomly assigned (Research Randomizer) the kinesio taping application with tension (KTT test) or without tension (KTNT test), and 24 hours after completion were subjected to the remaining test; thus all horses participated in both tests (Fig. 2). MNT measurements were taken before application of the tape (basal moment, M0), 60 minutes following application (moment 1, M1) and 24 hours following application, after its removal (moment 2, M2). The sensitivity to palpation of the spinous processes prior to tape application (M0) and following removal of the same (M2) was evaluated and classified as grade 0 (no pain), grade 1 (mild pain), grade 2 (moderate pain) or grade 3 (severe pain), based on the adapted De Heus scale (De Heus et al. 2010).

2.4. MNT measurements

Measurements of the nociceptive threshold were taken using a digital algometer (ProdPlus Algometer, Topcat Metrology Ltd, UK) calibrated in a range of 0.5 to 25 Newtons (N), with a metal tip with a diameter of 2 mm (0.031 cm²). Measurements were taken by placing the algometer perpendicular to the skin, applying a pressure of 2N/sec, until the horse showed at least one sign of having reached its pain threshold: skin fasciculation, muscle contraction, extension of the back, shying away from the operator (Haussler and Erb 2006a; Menke et al. 2016). Prior to the start of the study, the horses had been made accustomed to having algometer measurements taken.

The points of measurement were marked on the skin and the tape using a marker. 3 measurements were taken at each spinous process, with a 30 second interval between measurements (Fig. 3). The measurement order of each point was randomised in each horse. The operator, with clinical experience in back palpation (M.G.P.), remained blind to the measurement value until completion of the same, and did not know which horse belonged to each group at the time of assessment.
Five strips of orange-coloured Kinesio tape (VetkinTape®, Thyson Group B. V., Netherlands) were used, measuring 6 cm in width and 30 cm in length. The characteristics of this tape are its longitudinal elasticity and adhesiveness (alcohol-free adhesive acrylic layer), it is made of cotton (92%), is water-resistant, breathable and has properties comparable to those of the human epidermis (thickness, elasticity, weight) [24, information provided by Vetkin Tape®]. This kind of tape is specially designed for horses with a stronger glue that ensures stickiness on the hairy skin. Horses weren’t clipped to mimic the conditions of clinical use. The tape edges were rounded to prevent it from unsticking early on. The 10cm at each end were considered anchor points, while the central 10cm acted as the treatment zone.

The horses were taped according to the “space correction lifting” technique described by Dr. Kenzo Kase (Fig 4).

Application without tension was administered by sticking the tape transversely onto the spinous process without tensing it. Application with tension was also administered transversely on the process but stretching the central part of the tape to 50% of its basal length, while also stretching the horse’s skin through the thoracic flexion reflex. The skin of the horse was marked over the spinous process with two lines separated 15 cm in order to stretch the tape exactly 50% (from 10cm to 15cm).
2.6. Statistical analysis
To evaluate the repeatability of the results obtained using the digital algometer in the three measurements taken at each point, the Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) was calculated, by using a mixed model with random effects for average measurements and total agreement (ICC (3,k) two-way mixed average measures, consistency / absolute agreement). Adaptation or sensitisation phenomena were assessed by ANOVA.

Variables included in the statistical model were MNT and sensitivity to palpation of the spinous processes (pain scale). The differences between the different moments of mechanical nociceptive threshold (MNT’s) measurement were evaluated in a Student’s t-test for paired samples or a Signed Rank Wilcoxon test as dictated by the data normality in each case (evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). After comparing moments, the p-values were adjusted in each case by applying the Bonferroni Correction. Pain scale outcomes were evaluated in a Signed Rank Wilcoxon test. Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant. Software used for the statistical analysis was SPSS v25 and SAS v9.4.

3. Results
3.1. Repeatability
In all measurements taken in the KTNT test the ICC was greater than 0.9, while in the case of the KTT test the ICC values were slightly lower but in no case below 0.82. According to Landis and Koch [25], a close-to-perfect agreement is demonstrated when the ICC value is between 0.81 and 1, meaning our ICC values indicate a good repeatability of the algometer measurements (Table 1).

3.2. Adaptation / sensitization to the algometer measurements
After conducting the ANOVA test to assess the different measurements taken with the algometer at each point and in each moment, no statistically significant increasing or decreasing trend of these successive values was observed. The p value is considerably greater than 0.05 in all cases; the only p value below 0.044 is detected in the KTT test in the M0 measurements of the thoracic process 12. The rest of the p values do not present a statistically significant trend towards either accommodation or sensitisation in the successive measurements of any single spinous process.

3.3. Clinical evaluation
Clinical evaluation using finger pressure comprises 75 measurements (5 spinous processes of each one of the 15 horses included in the study). In the previous evaluation (M0) of the KTNT test, 78% of the tests showed no evidence of pain; of the remaining 22%, pain was only moderate in one of them, while it was mild in the rest. In the clinical evaluation conducted in M2, mild pain was only detected in one test, the rest were pain-free (98.6%). In the previous evaluation (M0) of the KTT test, 80% of the evaluations showed no evidence of pain; in M2, 96% of the measurements were pain-free (Table 2).

3.4. MNT values
The mean basal MNT values in M0 are similar in both tests and in all horses were higher in the most caudal vertebrae (L2 and L4) compared to the most cranial vertebrae (T12, T15 and T18) (Fig. 5).

Fig. 5. Mechanical nociceptive thresholds (MNTs) means for each spinous process, measured before kinesio taping application (M0), 60 minutes after (M1), and 24 hours after, once removed (M2), for both tests (50% tension and no tension).
Table 1. Intraclass Correlation Coefficients (icc). ICC’s were calculated for each MNT measurement, before kinesio taping application (M0), one hour after kinesio taping application (M1) and 24 hours after kinesio taping application, once it was removed (M2). aKTNT: kinesio taping with no tension. bKTT: kinesio taping with 50% tension. cT: thoracic. dL: lumbar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>KTNT M0</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T12</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T15</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>KTT M0</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T12</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T15</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Pain scoring outcomes (clinical palpation of spinous processes). Pain was measured by palpation before kinesio taping application (M0) and 24 hours after kinesio taping application, once removed (M2). anumber of horses (%) bKTNT: kinesio taping with no tension cKTT: kinesio taping tension 50%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>M0</th>
<th>M2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T12</td>
<td>9 (60)</td>
<td>14 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T15</td>
<td>10 (67)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18</td>
<td>12 (80)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>14 (93)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>14 (93)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- M0-M1 Comparison
In the KTNT test, when the basal MNTs (M0) were compared with those obtained in M1, an increase in all localisations was observed, though it was most apparent in T12 and L2 (means of 4.12 and 4.49, p values of 0.007 and p 0.003 respectively). In the KTT test, an increase in the MNTs was also observed in all mean values obtained but was not as pronounced as in the KTNT test; the biggest increase was obtained at L2 (mean of 3.05 n). The p value in these cases is also < 0.05 except at T18 (mean 1.27N, p 0.2) and at L4 (mean 0.96N, p 0.5).

Comparing the mean MNT increases obtained between M0 and M1, the variations were limited and present a high p value (p>0.05) in all localisations (Table 3A).

- M0-M2 Comparison
In both tests, the variations in the mean MNT values between M0 and M2 are not noticeable (below 2N in all the processes evaluated).
In the KTNT test, the highest mean value between M0 and M2 occurred at T15 (p 0.03). The mean value at L4 is negative (-1.3N), implying an increase in sensitivity rather than a decrease.
In the KTT test, the increases in the MNTs between M0 and M2 are lower than in the KTNT test, and in all cases below 1N (p > 0.05). The trend at L4 to generate a negative value (mean -2.51N, p 0.006) persisted.
When the mean MNT differences between M0-M2 of both tests were compared, slightly higher values were obtained in the KTNT test, but with low statistical significance (p> 0.05 in all cases) (Table 3B).
3.5. Tolerance to procedure
Following some algometer measurements, small depressions in the skin were observed in the zones where it had come in contact with the tip. These did not result in lesions and disappeared after a few minutes.
Removal of the tape did not result in signs of skin alterations in any horse. In the KTNT test, 24 hours following adhesion, the tape remained in good condition in all horses; in the KTT test, 53% of the horses presented some unstuck anchor points, while the central part stuck to the spinous process remained intact in all horses.

4. Discussion
The use of kinesio taping in the field of equine physiotherapy has become widespread in recent years, yet there is a significant gap in the clinical research into its effects on animals. Nowadays, the way the technique is applied on horses comes from the original development of kinesio taping for human beings and the research done by human therapists. Nonetheless, the results obtained in humans cannot be extrapolated directly to the veterinary setting without taking into consideration the fact that the presence of hair may be a limiting factor of its benefits. No articles have been published, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, on the analgesic effect of kinesio taping in horses.

The obtained results indicate good repeatability of the algometry technique with ICCs of over 0.82 in all evaluations. This repeatability, which has already been demonstrated in other studies with horses (Chambers et al. 1990; Haussler and Erb 2006a; Haussler et al. 2008), is attained with just one operator, taking three measurements at each point, with a constant pressure rate (Nussbaum and Downes 1998). The importance of maintaining constant pressure is due to the linear relationship existing between said rate and the nociceptive threshold value (List et al. 1991).

We did not observe any accommodation or sensitisation phenomena in successive measurements as reported by other authors (Haussler and Erb 2006a) associating this effect with the frequency of the same (every 3-4 seconds); we included a 30-second pause between them and this could explain the absence of these phenomena.

The obtained demonstrate analgesia in the algometer measurement 60 minutes after the kinesio tape application, in both tests (KTT and KTNT), but with higher mean increases in the MNT values in the group without tension. For the measurements taken at M1

---

Table 3. Mean MNT’s differences between measures for each test.
A. Differences between mean MNT values (Newtons) evaluated before kinesio taping application (M0) and 60 minutes after application (M1), for each test (KTNT and KTT) and between both test (Comparison KTNT-KTT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KTNT</th>
<th>M1-M0</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>KTNT</th>
<th>M1-M0</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>KTNT</th>
<th>M1-M0</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T12</td>
<td>4.12 (4.52)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>2.43 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>1.68 (5.08)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T15</td>
<td>3.84 (2.98)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>2.37 (2.39)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.47 (4.11)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18</td>
<td>2.97 (3.09)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.27 (2.66)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.7 (3.62)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>4.49 (4.44)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>3.05 (4.08)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.44 (5.81)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>2.44 (3.54)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.96 (2.98)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.47 (4.42)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Differences between mean MNT values (Newtons) evaluated before kinesio taping application (M0) and 24 hours after application, once removed (M2), for each test (KTNT and KTT) and between both test (comparison KTNT-KTT). *Mean (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KTNT</th>
<th>M2-M0</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>KTNT</th>
<th>M2-M0</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>KTNT</th>
<th>M2-M0</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T12</td>
<td>1.21 (2.96)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.86 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.35 (3.83)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T15</td>
<td>1.68 (2.35)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.15 (3.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.53 (3.07)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18</td>
<td>0.74 (2.74)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.24 (2.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.49 (3.43)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>0.9 (2.97)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7 (4.27)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19 (4.49)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>-1.3 (4.71)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-2.51 (2.75)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.21 (3.91)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the algometer was placed against the adhered tape; to evaluate whether this triggered an alteration in the MNT values, a previous experiment with different horses was conducted, evaluating the same point with and without adhered kinesio tape, and no differences were observed, concluding that the presence of the tape did not affect the MNT value.

It was observed that the MNT increases at 60’ after tape application did not maintain the same relevance in the 24 hours following removal of the same, meaning the analgesic effect, measured by pressure algometer, is not sustained. In humans, some studies only detect short-term improvements (Thelen et al. 2008; Paoloni et al. 2011) and while in these the subjects were taped for longer time periods, we do not believe the taping time is a key factor as an analgesic effect has also been demonstrated in patients with lower back pain after both 24 hours of taping (Chang et al. 2018) and after 45 minutes (Celenay and Kaya 2019).

The pronounced variability of the MNTs between individual MNTs (Vanderweeen et al. 1996; Haussler and Erb 2003; De Heus et al. 2010) renders it difficult to establish an absolute reference value below which the horse can be considered to feel pain. Nonetheless, differences of over 1 kg (surface area of the tip 1 cm²) between one zone and its contralateral (Haussler and Erb 2006b) are described as significant, and in horses who present musculoskeletal pathology the MNTs in these damaged zones are referred to as being less than 5kg/cm² (surface area of the tip 1cm²) (Haussler and Erb 2003).

It is important to note that it is not possible to compare algometry studies with tips presenting different surface areas and shapes as they produce a different distortion of the tissue, and though the bigger the tip surface the higher the MNT, this relationship is not linear (Taylor and Dixon 2012; Taylor et al. 2016). As described in other studies, in our sample we observed an increased MNT in the more caudal measurements compared to the more cranial, associated with a lower nociceptive density in the caudal region (Haussler and Erb 2006a) and an increase in the tissue thickness in the lumbar back zone compared to the thoracic zone (Pongratz and Licka 2017).

In the clinical evaluation outcomes analysis considerable improvements were obtained in M2 palpation compared to M0, in both tests, in those spinous processes presenting pain.

Two hypotheses could justify the difference between the clinical and algometric outcomes at M2. The first resides in the fact that horses without any recent history of back pain were chosen, the majority of which did not present painful spinous processes (33% of the horses did not present pain in any of them), meaning the expected MNT variations are not very significant as there had not been any previous pain. The MNT improvement detected in M1 in both tests could respond to the analgesic effect of the taping that would diminish on removal of the same (M2), only persisting on those processes that clinically presented with pain in M0.

The difficulty of finding a homogeneous sample of horses with painful spinous processes led us to use animals that were frequently ridden, following the criteria of other authors (Sullivan et al. 2008) who assume that ridden horses experience subclinical back pain. Additionally, given that higher MNTs are described in ridden animals compared to those not ridden (Haussler and Erb 2006a), it was necessary to select only ridden horses to avoid any bias.

The second hypothesis to explain the variation in the outcomes obtained in M2 is based on the difference in the pressure applied to the spinous processes in both methods (algometry and palpation). While the pressure applied by a thumb in a palpation is described as being around 0.4-0.6 kgf/cm² [17], the lowest MNT detected during our experimental procedure was 14.28 kgf/cm² (4.4 N, tip with a diameter of 2 mm), meaning the maximum force applied during the clinical evaluation would be 30 times lower than that used by the algometer to generate a painful response in the most sensitive spinous process of the entire study.

Furthermore, if we take into consideration that tips with smaller surface areas give rise to more consistent and repeatable outcomes (Taylor et al. 2016), but cause less distortion of the deep tissues (Treede et al. 2002), the thumb would be generating less pressure and affecting deeper tissues than if were to use the tip of our algometer (0.031 cm²), which could explain the difference between the outcomes obtained with each method.

A collation of the tests (with and without tension) showed the differences between the mean values in
the two comparisons (M0-M1 and M0-M2) are not pronounced (<2N in all cases) and they have high p values (p>0.05). Hence, the outcomes of our study indicate that tensing the tape before applying it (50% stretching) does not result in a greater analgesic benefit compared to not doing so, in line with other studies in humans which observed a similar analgesic improvement in applications with and without tension (Thelen et al. 2008; Chang et al. 2012; Silva Parreira et al. 2014; Macedo et al. 2019).

Based on the hypotheses put forward in the field of human physiotherapy, and eliminating a possible placebo effect not applicable in animals, we suggest that the taping generates a stimulus on the cutaneous mechanoreceptors capable of triggering pain inhibition mechanisms, as explained by the “Gate Control” theory put forward by Melzack and Wall (Thelen et al. 2008; Paoloni et al. 2011; Macedo et al. 2019). This theory claims that the cutaneous stimulus activates high-speed nerve pathways whose entrance into the central nervous system is prioritised over the painful stimulus conduction that is transmitted via slow nerve fibres. Hence, the stimulus triggered by the taping on the skin (regardless of its tension) could be sufficient to generate the analgesic response (Velasco-Roldan et al. 2018), which in turn would decrease once it had been removed, as we verified in the algometer measurement in M2.

A further hypothesis on its effects, proposed by the inventor of the technique (Kase et al. 2003), is based on the elevation of the skin caused by the taping following its application with tension, creating convolutions that decrease pressure on the nociceptors and improve blood flow in the affected area (Chang et al. 2012). In our outcomes, we did not obtain a significant difference between application with or without tension, but some studies in humans do describe improvements when the taping is applied by generating convolutions (Castro-Sanchez et al. 2012).

Regarding the percentage of tension, our choice, which may have impacted the outcomes, was based on Dr. Kenzo Kase’s indications for the space-correction lifting technique, which recommends a tension of 25-50%. Although some texts described the tension percentage according to the maximum length of the tensed tape, we measured it according to its basal length without tension, as recommended for techniques applied with a tension equal to or over 50% (Golab et al. 2017).

No skin reaction was observed in the horses following removal of the tape. Reports of allergic reactions post kinesio taping application are limited and improve without treatment once the tape has been removed (Chang et al. 2018).

One of the limitations of this study may have been the presence of hair; although we used a kind of tape specially designed for horses, the tape was stuck onto hair and not directly onto skin as recommended by the creator of the technique (Kase 2000; Kase et al. 2003). Other limiting factors were the fact that the horses did not present pain in all the spinous processes measured, that we did not have a control group in which taping was not applied and that the measurements were taken in a short time frame following one sole application.

5. Conclusion
The endpoint of this study was to evaluate the analgesic effect of kinesio taping on the horse’s spine and we can conclude that its application, with both 50% tension and without tension, on the horse’s spinous processes causes an elevation of the MNTs after 60 minutes. The increase in the MNTs is not maintained 24 hours after removal but a clinical improvement is detected in the palpation of the processes that presented with pain, in both tests (KTT y KTNT).

Kinesio taping is a simple technique to apply that complements medical and physiotherapeutic treatments of the back, and it has demonstrated its short-term analgesic effect.
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